AN INTRODUCTION TO BIBLICAL INTERPRETATION WITH SOLUTIONS TO BIBLE DIFFICULTIES

A Thesis

Presented to

the Faculty of the Graduate School of Theology

Ambassador College

Pasadena, California

In Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements for the Degree
Master of Arts

by

Robert C. Boraker

June, 1969

PREFACE

Biblical interpretation or "hermeneutics" is nothing more than determining what the Bible means. What is the correct meaning of the Scriptures and who has the authority to determine that meaning? This is Biblical interpretation.

Some believe that the Roman Catholic Church has the authority to interpret Scripture. Nicholas of Lyra wrote (Preface 2 to the <u>Postillae</u>):

I protest that I do not intend to assert or determine anything that has not been manifestly determined by Sacred Scripture or by the authority of the Church. . . . Wherefore I submit all I have said or shall say to the correction of Holy Mother Church and of all learned men. (Interpreters Dictionary of the Bible, article "Interpretation," pp. 721-722.)

Wyclif protested against such a view by saying:

Though there were a hundred popes and every monk were made a cardinal, their opinion in matters of faith is to be valued only insofar as it is founded upon Scripture. $(\underline{\text{Tbid}}.)$

That view is more correct. The Bible interprets itself. Man can only study it to find what it has to say. But the men most capable to do this study are those who are filled with God's Holy Spirit and have been chosen to instruct the people—His true ministers. The ministers of the true Church of God do have the authority to explain and expound the Bible.

Agnostics and atheists attack the Bible by claiming it cannot be divinely inspired because of its "many contradictions, discrepancies, absurdities and immoralities."

Because the modern critic tries to interpret the Bible like any other book, he can't understand it and could find many discrepancies and contradictions in it. But the Bible is not written from the same viewpoint as other books. While it gives facts about the history of man and the development of society, the Bible gives much more. It gives God's view and interpretation of those facts and reveals their real meaning. No other book has such knowledge. And no other book claims to be inspired by God.

We don't need to doubt the authenticity, authority and inspiration of God's Word. We can find the truth and answers to any difficulties. We can know and strengthen our faith in God and His Word with a few, simple guidelines.

This thesis will give principles of Bible study that will help the reader to understand the Bible and find answers to many of his questions. We will show why there are difficulties in understanding the Bible and how they can be solved. In addition, solutions to many Bible difficulties are included as examples. We shall prove there are no real discrepancies and no real contradictions in the inspired Word of God.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER		PAGE
ı.	WHY DIFFICULTIES?	1
	Expect to Find Difficulties	1
	The Reason for Difficulties	4
	Difficulties are a Stumbling Block	6
	Attitude is Key Factor	7
	Attitude 15 hey ractor	,
II.	METHODS OF BIBLE STUDY	10
	Three Basic Elements	12
	Study Thoughtfully	13
	Compare Scripture with Scripture	15
	Prayer and Meditation	16
	Bible Study Helps	19
	Other External Helps	21
TTT	THE CALCEC OF MANY DEPENDED TO THE	25
III.	THE CAUSES OF MANY DIFFICULTIES	25
	Kinds of Bible Difficulties	26
	Who Is Speaking?	27
	Differences Between Bible Writers	29
	Writers Differ in Approach	30
	How Was Time Reckoned?	32
	What Did the Writer Mean?	34
IV.	THE LANGUAGE OF THE BIBLE	35
_L V •		
	Is the Language Figurative?	36
	Figures of Speech	40 42
	Hebrew Idioms	42
V.	THE MEANING OF WORDS	44
	Some English Words Now Obsolete	45
	Usage of Some Greek Words Changed	47
	Greek Words Translated with more than	
	One Meaning	49
	Meaning of "Parousia"	50
	Greek Words with a Uniform Usage	51
VI.	ERRORS OF COPYISTS AND TRANSLATORS	FO
V _L •		58
	Errors in Translation	59
	What is the Literal Meaning?	61
	Marginal Renderings and Footnotes	62
	Kinds of Marginal Renderings	63
	Summaries of Chapters	64

CHAPTER												ü							PAGE
VII.	MOR	AL :	DIFI	FICT	JLT	TES	,	•	•		•	•		•	•		•		66
	W	hy	God	Per	rmi	tte	d	S1	av	er	су	•	•	•	•	•	•		67
	P	oly	gamy	aı	$\mathbf{1d}$	Div	or	се			•	•	•	•	•		•		69
	W	hy	God	Ser	1t	Isr	ae	1	to	V	Var	2		•	•	•	•		71
	S	inf	ul A	Act	Lon	s o	f	Ri	gh	tε	ou	ıs	Me	en	•	•			73
	A	cts	of	Tre	eac	her	У	•	• ,	•	•	•	•	•	•	. •	•		76
	I	s G	od N	lero	cif	`u1?		•	•	•	•	•	•	à	•	•	•		78
	G	od I	Requ	iire	es	Exa	ct	0	be	di	er	1C e	9	٠	•	•	•		79
VIII.	DIF	FIC	ULT]	ŒS	IN	PR	OP	HE	CY			•					٠		82
	W	hat	is	Pro	ph	есу	?	•	•	•				•			•		84
	S	tud	y Me	the	ods		•	•	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		85
	A	rra	ngen	nent	t o	f P	ro	ph	ec	i€	s	•	•	•	•	•	•		87
	\mathbf{T}	he l	Mill	enr	niu	.m	0	0	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		88
	I	nte:	rpre	etat	tio	n o	f	Pr	op	he	есу	r .	•	•	•	•	•		89
IX.	DIFFICULTIES IN DOCTRINE														97				
	S	tud	y P1	.air	ı S	cri	pt	ur	es	F	ir	st	Ċ.	•	•	•	•		98
BIBLIOGR.	APHY		• •				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•		102
INDEX .		• 1								•		•				•			104

CHAPTER I

WHY DIFFICULTIES?

The Bible is God's divine <u>revelation</u> of the most necessary, basic knowledge of all life. It is the very FOUNDATION which mankind could not otherwise discover. It is the revelation of God's mind, will and character. And God is far <u>greater</u> than man in knowledge, wisdom and spiritual character. And yet God has given the Bible-- His revealed and inspired Word--to men who are imperfect in knowledge, character and spiritual understanding.

Therefore, when carnal, narrow-minded, spiritually ignorant, and puny men try to understand the revelation of an <u>infinite</u>, <u>all-powerful</u>, <u>all-knowing God</u>, there is bound to be difficulty. It's like a young child trying to understand a lecture given by a college professor. He may understand the words of themselves, but he will not grasp all the <u>meaning</u> of the ideas expressed.

Expect to Find Difficulties and Have Questions

A person may find it difficult to understand certain parts of the Bible. This is to be expected. The apostle Peter wrote that in Paul's epistles there were "some things HARD TO BE UNDERSTOOD, which they that are unlearned and unstable wrest, as they do also the other

scriptures, unto their own destruction" (II Peter 3:16).

The Bible student will encounter difficulties.

Torrey admits this:

Who is there of us who has not found things in the Bible that have puzzled us, yes, that in our early Christian experience have led us to question the Bible was, after all, the Word of God. We find some things in the Bible which it seems impossible for us to reconcile with other things in the Bible. We find some things which seem incompatible with the thought that the whole Bible is of Divine origin and absolutely inerrant. It is not wise to attempt to conceal the fact that these difficulties exist. It is the part of wisdom, as well as of honesty, to frankly face them and consider them. (R.A. Torrey, Difficulties in the Bible, p. 9.)

We don't need to become discouraged when we encounter difficulties. We need to realize that some parts of the Bible will be hard to understand at first. We will find things in the Bible that will puzzle us. We may even find some apparent contradictions that we cannot reconcile. But the Bible is not filled with errors. We need to squarely face these difficulties and "search the scriptures" (John 5:39) to find the answers.

Many difficulties arise because of man's ignorance of the facts. But anyone who gives up his belief in the divine origin and inspiration of the Bible because of some facts he cannot reconcile, is a shallow thinker indeed. The one who denies that the Bible is of divine origin and authority has more numerous and weighty difficulties to explain than one who believes the Bible to be the inspired Word of God.

The very fact that we may not be able to solve a difficulty does not prove it cannot be solved. If we can see no possible solution, we shouldn't jump to the conclusion that a solution is impossible to find. Should a beginner in algebra, after having tried for hours to solve a problem, declare there is no possible solution because he couldn't find one? Of course not! And neither should Bible students think there are unsolvable difficulties in the Bible, simply because they themselves are not able to solve them.

In many cases, the difficulty doesn't really exist.

Angus pointed out:

Perplexities and doubts may, in numberless cases, be removed by a better knowledge of the text of Scripture, by the correction of inaccurate translation, by an acquaintance with the manners and customs of the age and country in which a book was written, and by a wider application of historical facts. (Joseph Angus, The Bible Hand-book, p. 260.)

Many alleged errors have been investigated by competent scholars and the result thus far confirms the accuracy of the Bible. Many difficulties have been cleared away and new proofs for the Bible's authenticity have been discovered.

When some people come to a difficult scripture, they decide immediately what the doubtful text <u>must mean</u>. Having made up their minds quickly as to the meaning of this particular verse, they must then <u>change</u> their minds

about other verses and misinterpret them in order to make them fit with the new one. Trying to <u>put our own ideas</u>

INTO the Bible is the surest way of MISUNDERSTANDING it entirely.

We must reserve our judgment about any difficulties in understanding the Bible we come across. Why reject truth or the Bible itself because we don't understand certain parts at the moment? Those who make a big issue of some minor points they don't understand, often overlook the really important purpose for which the Bible was written.

The Reason for Difficulties

Why are there <u>apparent</u> contradictions and discrepancies in the Bible? Why has God permitted them to exist? And what good are they? These are questions that need answering.

Thomas Boys has well said:

There is much in the Holy Scriptures which we find hard to understand: nay, much that we seem to understand so fully as to imagine that we have discovered in it some difficulty or inconsistency. Yet the truth is, that passages of this kind are often the very parts of the Bible in which the greatest instruction is to be found: and more than this, the instruction is to be obtained in the contemplation of the very difficulties by which at first we are startled. This is the intention of these apparent inconsistencies. The expressions are used in order that we may mark them, dwell upon them, and draw instruction out of them. Things are put to us in a strange way, because if they were put in a more

ordinary way, we should not notice them. (Commentary, I Peter 3, quoted in Figures of Speech Used in the Bible by E.W. Bullinger, p. xi.)

The apparent contradictions and discrepancies in the Bible <u>stimulate</u> the <u>mind</u>. They prompt men to search the Scriptures for the solution. They attract the inquiring mind. Whately says:

The seeming contradictions in scripture are too numerous not to be the result of design; and were designed, not as mere difficulties to try our faith and patience, but as furnishing the most suitable mode of instruction that could have been devised, by mutually explaining and modifying or limiting or extending one another's meaning. (On Difficulties in Writings of St. Paul, Essay vii, Sec. 4 quoted by John W. Haley, Alleged Discrepancies of the Bible, p. 31.)

Difficulties stimulate interest in Bible study.

Angus remarks:

And if it be said that these difficulties are too numerous, or that the solution of them has been too slow, it may be answered that this gradual solution supplies to each age fresh evidence, and excites continued interest in Scripture, while the fact proves that the evidence of the Bible, like its doctrine, is for all time. (Joseph Angus, The Bible Hand-book, p. 271, emphasis mine.)

Anstey adds to this by saying:

The solution of difficulties, the clearing up of discrepancies, the reconciliation of apparent contradictions, and the attainment of a clear perception of the perfect harmony which penetrates into the last detail and permeates and pervades the entire structure of Holy Scripture, is a source of unfailing intellectual interest. (Martin Anstey, How to Master the Bible, p. 20.)

Concerning the differences in the spelling, choice, and arrangement of words in the Greek text of the New

Testament, Wordsworth says:

These discrepancies being such as they are found to be, are of inestimable value. They show that there has been no collusion among our witnesses, and that our manuscript copies of the Gospels, about five hundred in number, and brought to us from all parts of the world, have not been mutilated or interpolated with any sinister design. . . These discrepancies are, in fact, evidences of the purity and integrity of the sacred text. They show that the scriptures which we now hold in our hands in the nineteenth century, are identical with those received by the church in the first century as written by the Holy Ghost. (Preface to Greek Four Gospels, p. xxii, quoted by John W. Haley, Alleged Discrepancies of the Bible, p. 37.)

Difficulties in the text are important from this point of view: They show that the scribes must have been <u>faithful</u> with the Hebrew and Greek manuscripts because the tendency would always be to "correct" the apparent discrepancies. This was <u>not</u> done.

Difficulties Are a Stumbling Block

The apparent discrepancies and difficulties in the Bible are also there as a stumbling block to people whom God is <u>not</u> calling today (Mat. 13:10-15 and I Pet. 2:8). We need to remember that God is not revealing His truth to everyone in our day (see Mr. Armstrong's article, "Is This the Only Day of Salvation?"). Most people's eyes have been blinded and the way God has blinded people is by writing the Bible in such a way they couldn't understand it unless they diligently studied it with a humble and open mind and had the help of God's Spirit.

The major cause of difficulties in understanding the Bible is <u>carelessness</u> in studying the Bible without a prayerful and humble mind. So to eliminate these difficulties, it is necessary to <u>study the Bible in the right way</u> and to have the <u>right attitude</u> about it.

Attitude is Key Factor

Those who study the Bible merely to find errors, will never come to understand it properly. Such people do not fully comprehend and apply the PURPOSE of God's Word. This purpose is given in II Timothy 3:16, "ALL scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for CORRECTION, for instruction in righteousness."

We should study every single passage with this verse in mind. We need to ask ourselves: What reproof, correction and instruction is here for me? The Bible should be applied personally—to take the plain and simple meaning of the passage as if it were written directly to us and then act accordingly.

If we recognize the <u>authority</u> of the Bible--as being the inspired Word of Almighty God the Creator--and study it with the purpose of showing us where we are WRONG and to find the right instruction that will help us grow to spiritual maturity, then the <u>supposed</u> contradictions and other Bible difficulties will be no problem.

Even theologians of this world understand this point. Anstey says:

The primary requisite of the Bible student is a sincere desire to know the will of God in order that he may do it. The Bible is a revelation of the will of God. Its primary appeal is to the will of man. It was written to be obeyed. Hence the primary qualification demanded in the reader is not scholarship but surrender, not expert knowledge, but willingness to be 1ed by the Spirit of God. (Martin Anstey, How to Master the Bible, p. 11, emphasis mine.)

When a certain lawyer asked Christ what to do to inherit eternal life, Christ asked him: "What is written in the law? How do you read it?" (Luke 10:26). When a person reads the Bible, does he read it in order to confirm his prejudices or form his opinions? Does he read it in order to confute his opponents or to find out what and whom he ought to oppose? Does he read it through the eyeglasses of tradition--everything colored by what the early church fathers taught? Or does he read the Bible with confidence that there is more light and truth to be revealed by God's Word?

We need help in understanding the Bible. When Philip heard the Ethiopian eunuch reading the book of Isaiah, he asked him: "Do you understand what you are reading?" The Ethiopian replied: "How can I unless someone guides me?" (Acts 8:30-31).

The Bible student needs instruction and guidance.
But the only man who is at all competent to explain the

will and Word of God is the man whose will is fully surrendered to God. There are great Greek and Hebrew scholars who are blind as a bat to the real meaning of the Scriptures because they lack that clearness of spiritual vision that comes only from a surrendered will.

Both the Bible student and teacher must have the right attitude of heart and mind. God says: "To this man will I look, even to him that is of a contrite spirit, and TREMBLETH at my word" (Isa. 66:2).

If we tremble at God's Word and respect the authority and power behind that Word so much that we are afraid to reject or disobey it, then we have the right attitude and can fully benefit by our study of the Bible. Instead of trying to correct or improve the Bible we must let it correct, reprove and teach us.

CHAPTER II

METHODS OF BIBLE STUDY

Many people come across difficulties in the Bible because they don't know how to study it. The apostle Paul said: "Study to show thyself approved unto God, a work-man that needeth not be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth" (II Tim. 2:15).

This study of the Bible should be with <u>zeal</u>! It is something to put our whole heart into. We need to devote our time and mind to it. God says, "Whatsoever thy hand findeth to do, <u>do it with thy might</u>; for there is no work, nor device, nor knowledge, nor wisdom, in the grave" (Eccl. 9:10).

Bible study, to be effective, must be methodical and systematic. If there is no system, there will be no real study. Some of the common errors in Bible study need to be pointed out. The methods that lead to true understanding of the Bible need to be explained.

People use different methods in studying the Bible. Some use the gamble and ramble method. They read wherever the Bible opens. Thus they gamble and ramble through the Bible. But George Mueller found that when he used this method, the Bible began to continually open to the same place. Obviously this is not a good method.

Others study the Bible one book at a time. This method can be effective and could be used to find the general contents of a particular book. When using this method, we should find out who wrote each book. When, where, and for whom did the author write? Under what circumstances did he write? And for what purpose? Every book has an <u>object</u> as well as a <u>subject</u>. Does his book contain laws, poetry, history, religious instruction, or prophecy? What are the practical lessons and doctrines taught? What connection has this book with other parts of the Bible? What is the time period when the events were written?

Not only is it important to read a book from beginning to end, it is also important to read a connected set of books consecutively to the end to get the general thought that pervades throughout.

Some people study the Bible chronologically-according to time sequence. If we study from Genesis to
Revelation, the material will be in <u>basic</u> chronological
order, but certain books or chapters will not be in time
sequence. This method of study would be helpful when
reading the prophetical books. The time when each prophet
wrote should be known as some of the prophets were contemporary.

Another method is to study the Bible biographically. That is, to study the lives of leading characters in the Bible. This method would be especially helpful when we notice the weakness and strong points of a person God was able to use. Notice why some men failed to succeed while others triumphed and received many blessings.

One other method is to study the Bible by subject. This method is a very good one and is used by the Ambassador College Bible Correspondence Course. To find what the entire Bible says about one subject involves a thorough type of study which would help solve some difficulties.

Three Basic Elements

But regardless of what method of study a person uses, it must include three basic elements to be an effective one. The first element is <u>reading</u>. The apostle Paul told Timothy: "Till I come, give attendance to reading, to exhortation, to doctrine" (I Tim. 4:13).

Some make the mistake of only reading books and literature about the Bible, but don't read the Bible itself. The Bible should be read-from cover to cover.

"All scripture is inspired. . ." (II Tim. 3:16). Every verse is important.

But some read only the New Testament. Others read only the Gospels. Some never read the prophecies. While others read only Daniel and Revelation. Such reading is

not systematic. It's not complete or balanced.

It is necessary to have a planned reading program that will enable you to read the entire Bible in a year's time. Since there are 1,189 chapters in the Bible, by reading three chapters a day and two additional chapters on the Sabbath, the entire Bible can be read in a year. For balance, it is best to read two chapters in the Old Testament and one chapter in the New.

Although the King James Version should be used as the basic study Bible, this daily reading can be done in a modern translation such as the Jewish Version, Moffatt, Revised Standard Version, or the Amplified Version. In any case, the Bible used for reading should be unmarked to keep the message fresh. When reading a marked Bible, thoughts tend to run in a groove suggested by the marks and notes.

Study Thoughtfully

The second basic element needed in effective Bible study is thorough study. This is more than just reading it. It is the kind the Bereans did. When Paul preached to them, "They received the word with all readiness of mind, and SEARCHED the scriptures daily, whether those things were so" (Acts 17:11).

This study requires searching. "Yea, if thou

criest after knowledge, and liftest up thy voice for understanding; if thou <u>seekest</u> her as silver, and <u>searchest</u> for her as for hid treasures; then shalt thou understand the fear of the LORD, and <u>find</u> the <u>knowledge</u> of <u>God</u>" (Prov. 2:3-5).

The Bible student must seek and search for know-ledge of God. He must investigate, examine, scrutinize and sift the scriptures to find the answers and solutions to difficulties. He must hunt for something.

As he studies through the Bible, the student should take notes. This helps to put thoughts in order and is an aid to remembering what is studied. Notes can be put in the margin of the Bible. In the study of some books, making an outline or table of contents of each chapter will be a great help. This will impress on our mind what the chapter is about and will help us retain this know-ledge.

Marking the Bible as we study is important. A person who is marking his Bible is obviously studying intently. Five styles of markings which can be used are:

(1) coloring, (2) underlining, (3) circling, (4) brackets, or (5) signs in the margin. One recommended method is to underline for flow, bracket for doctrine and use symbols in the margin for personal correction. Coloring can be used to emphasize important, KEY verses. But multi-color systems should be avoided as they clutter up the pages.

words or phrases appearing in the text can be explained by making notes in the margin. For example, "conversation" in Philippians 3:20 should read "citizenship." We can put a bracket around "conversation" and make a note in the margin. References to better translations can also be noted in the margin.

Compare Scripture with Scripture

Another note to make in the margins is any reference to related scriptures or parallel passages—texts which relate to the same subject, teach the same doctrine or relate to the same historical fact. A thorough study of the Bible will be the kind that will include the comparison of scriptures. The importance of this point is stated by Anstey:

One of the main reasons for the decay of interest in the study of the Bible. . . is the old method of reading the Bible in titbits and snippets instead of devoting the necessary time to grasp the scope and sweep of its majestic argument, and reading the Text in the light of the context, the context in the light of its relation to the book in which it is found, and the book in relation to the Bible as a whole. (Martin Anstey, How to Master the Bible, pp. 51, 52.)

God wrote the Bible with gaps between related material in order to hide the meaning. God said, "For precept must be upon precept. . . line upon line. . . here a little and there a little: for with stammering lips and another tongue will I speak to this people"

go hand in hand. They cannot be separated. Bible study, to be effective, must be accompanied with prayer. We need to pray and ask God for guidance and understanding, to <u>ask</u> Him to reveal the true meaning of what is said in His Word <u>expecting</u> He will, and to pray for the help of the Holy Spirit.

Man of himself is totally ignorant of God and cannot even understand His Word without spiritual guidance. Jeremiah said: "I know that the way of man is not in himself; it is not in man that walketh TO DIRECT HIS STEPS" (Jer. 10:23). We need guidance through the Holy Spirit. It is God's Spirit that will guide us into all Truth (John 16:13).

The Holy Spirit is necessary to understand spiritual truth. The <u>natural</u> human mind is <u>incapable</u> of understanding spiritual revelations. Paul explained this in I Corinthians 2:14, "The <u>natural</u> man"--that is, the natural-born, unconverted mind--"receiveth not the things of the <u>spirit</u> of God; for they are <u>foolishness</u> unto him; neither can he know them, because they are SPIRITUALLY discerned!" They are revealed by <u>God's Spirit</u> as the 10th verse goes on to say, "God hath REVEALED them unto us <u>by</u> <u>His Spirit</u>."

The Holy Spirit within us is the key which alone can unlock the doors to scriptural understanding. The

more we pray, the more of God's Spirit we will have to guide us into greater understanding.

But the Holy Spirit is given to only those who OBEY God (Acts 5:32). The greater our obedience to God, the greater our wisdom and understanding of the Bible will be.

Along with prayer, must be meditation. God told Joshua: This book of the law shall not depart out of thy mouth; but thou shall meditate therein day and night" (Joshua 1:8).

To meditate means to think, ponder and digest. It means letting the Bible saturate your thoughts. The Bible student should think about the Bible whenever he has a free moment--day and night. He can memorize key scriptures to help him think about them.

George Mueller of Bristol once said: "There may seem to be apparent contradictions in the Word, but by patiently and calmly going on reading and meditating, these are removed."

The second chapter of Proverbs gives four vital steps that lead to true knowledge and understanding.

They are, beginning with verse 1:

- 1. Accept God's Word as the truth. "My son, if thou wilt receive my words, and hide my commandments with thee. . . "
- 2. Act on what the Bible says. "So that thou incline thine ear unto wisdom, and apply thine heart to understanding. . . ."

- 3. Pray for understanding. "Yea, if thou criest after knowledge and liftest up thy voice for understanding. . . ."
- 4. Study diligently. "If thou seekest her as silver and searchest for her as for hid treasures; then shalt thou understand the fear of the LORD, and find the knowledge of God
 . . . Then shalt thou understand righteousness, and judgment, and equity; yea, every good path" (Proverbs 2:1-5, 9).

Bible study shouldn't be a drudgery. It should be something we <u>love</u> to do. It should be <u>enjoyable</u>, exhilarating and satisfying. Approach Bible study this way and there will be no problem solving any difficulties or apparent contradictions that may arise. There is a fair and reasonable solution for every difficulty and alleged discrepancy found in the Bible.

Bible Study Helps

The person who studies the Bible is a workman.

Remember what Paul told Timothy? "Study to shew thyself approved unto God, a WORKMAN that needeth not to be ashamed, rightly dividing the word of truth" (II Tim. 2:15).

Bible study involves diligent, concentrated work!

And to do this work, we need the appropriate tools. The primary tool needed, of course, is a good Bible. The King James Version should be used as a basic study Bible.

Other modern English translations can be referred to when the old King James English obscures the meaning. The new

Amplified Version is especially helpful.

A good concordance is another tool we need for Bible study. The Cruden's Concordance is adequate for most people. Those who want an even better concordance can obtain either Strong's Exhaustive Concordance or Young's Analytical Concordance.

A concordance is important to have because by knowing a few words of a passage we wish to find in the Bible, it will find that passage for us. It also helps in other ways. By using a concordance, which has the words of the Bible arranged alphabetically and tells where they may be found, we can bring related material together and obtain the whole true meaning of what the Bible has to say about anything.

A good analytical concordance will also help in discovering the true meaning of the original text. Where it is impossible to become an expert in the original languages of Greek and Hebrew, a good concordance will at least give the transliterations of the original words in Roman script and show where they are found in the Bible.

Commentaries may be a helpful tool to advanced students of the Bible. They may paraphrase a difficult passage or give comments that may shed light on the meaning. But we need to be cautious about using commentaries as they often give their own ideas and many times

the writers are prejudiced by their own religious beliefs

--often which are contrary to what the Bible teaches.

Commentaries are sometimes good in relating physical facts, but are often erroneous when it comes to explaining doctrine.

Then again, most commentaries are written in a technical language and in such obsolete English that many people would find them difficult to understand. Reading such commentaries would be a waste of time for most people. The commentary published by the Seventh Day Adventists, however, is written in clear, modern English and is helpful on subjects not affected by doctrinal bias.

Another helpful tool is Halley's <u>Pocket Bible Hand-book</u>. This is an abbreviated Bible commentary that briefly gives the background to the Bible, including important archaeological discoveries proving the Bible. The sections on Genesis, Daniel and Assyria are especially good.

Other External Helps

The Bible student needs to know the history, geography and customs of Bible times.

Thoroughly to understand the Scriptures, to harmonize apparent contradictions, to gather up all the truth it contains, and sometimes even to enable us to select, out of several meanings, the one which is most consistent with the Divine plan, it is often necessary to seek some external or collateral help.

We need to know the facts of general history, of chronology, of natural history, of geography, with the opinions and ideas prevalent among the people to whom the various parts of Scripture were addressed, and especially the manners and customs of Eastern nations. The illustrations derived from these several sources often throw a flood of light upon the sacred text. . . . A knowledge of geography will often explain and reconcile the statements of the Bible, show the beauty and truthfulness of particular passages, confirm the authenticity of the narrative by the accuracy of the local colouring, and bring out the sense which might otherwise remain concealed. (Joseph Angus, The Bible Hand-book, pp. 276, 296.)

The Bible student should be well acquainted with history, civil and political, especially of the Israel-ites, Egyptians, Phoenicians, Assyrians, Romans and Greeks. If the student has no time for extensive investigations, he should at least make himself master of Josephus.

A good Bible atlas will give geographical knowledge of the area around Palestine. Such a book specializes in Bible maps and has other helpful information about geography and history.

A Bible dictionary is another helpful tool. Such a book describes people, places and things mentioned in the Bible. It also has chronological tables and maps concerning Bible times. There are several types of Bible dictionaries and Peloubet's Bible Dictionary is one that is good.

The importance of knowing the customs and manners or archaeology pertaining to the Bible is pointed out by

McClelland:

No man is fit to expound a paragraph in any book whatever, unless he can bring distinctly before his mind all the usages and historical facts, all the circumstances of time and place, which relate to the subject treated. (Alex McClelland, Canon and Interpretation of the Holy Scripture, p. 136.)

Another helpful book that will be useful in solving many difficulties is, <u>Alleged Discrepancies of the Bible</u> by Haley. Over 900 solutions are given for so-called contradictions and discrepancies.

These Bible helps can help answer certain Bible questions and solve difficult problems. An example of how knowledge of certain facts can erase difficulties is concerning Belshazzar in the book of Daniel.

Critics once said such a person was unknown to history--that Nabonidus was the last king of Babylon. Furthermore, he was absent from the city when it was captured. This strong argument seemed unanswerable until Sir H. Rawlinson discovered clay cylinders in Chaldea on which Belshazzar is named by Nabonidus as being his eldest son. This son was the second ruler of Babylon who reigned in his father's absence. This explains why Belshazzar wanted to make Daniel the "third ruler" in the kingdom (Dan. 5:16). See Halley's Pocket Bible Handbook, p. 308.

What is said in these books may not be all true and we may not agree with all they say. The good they contain, however, overbalances their errors. Reading

books about the Bible written by men who were not inspired by God's Holy Spirit must always be done with caution.

CHAPTER III

THE CAUSES OF MANY DIFFICULTIES

Why do people <u>misunderstand</u> the Bible? We cannot deny the fact that some passages in the Bible <u>seem</u> to contradict others. But the difficulty may be traced to one of two causes:

- 1) Either the passages in question have not been studied with the individual and personal care and prayer which this Book demands. This carelessness lies at the root of nearly all the supposed difficulties that we hear about.

 Or, --
- 2) As it does happen in a few cases a mistake has been made by an early copyist in writing out the ancient documents now in our possession. (Sidney Collett, Scripture of Truth, pp. 115, 116, emphasis mine.)

<u>Carelessness</u> in reading the Bible and <u>ignorance</u> of what it says is a major cause of difficulties and seeming contradictions. Here is what Sims says about this:

Since so much knowledge is proved to be necessary to a right understanding of the Bible, we may easily believe that difficulties, or seeming contradictions, which occur to us in reading it, most probably arise from our ignorance or inattention. (A. Sims, Helps to Bible Study, p. 100, emphasis mine.)

Personal prejudice and bias is another cause of difficulties. As Tuck says:

The difficulties may be in part, due to the incompleteness of the record, which so seldom tells us all we want to know; but they are chiefly due to the insufficiency, or the incorrectness, of our knowledge, and to the blinding influence of our prejudices.

These, so often, first put things into the Word for us, and then persuade us that the difficulties we find belong to the Word itself. (Robert Tuck, A Handbook of Scientific and Literary Bible Difficulties, p. 2, emphasis mine.)

The apostle Paul said some people <u>corrupt</u> the Word of God and handle it <u>deceitfully</u> (II Cor. 2:17 and 4:1-4). Ministers and theologians do this to this day.

The Kinds of Bible Difficulties

All the difficulties in the Bible--the "glaring inconsistencies," the seeming "self-contradictions," and the "manifest discrepancies"--can be found to be one of several major groups.

The <u>first group</u> of difficulties to consider are those due to present-day ignorance of <u>conditions</u> and <u>circumstances</u> under which the Biblical books were written. According to Anstey:

One of the chief sources of the supposed contradictions of Scripture is the practice of ignoring the relation of the various books to each other, to the testament to which they belong, and to the Bible as a whole. (Martin Anstey, How to Master the Bible, pp. 22, 23.)

Because of <u>ignorance</u>, people misunderstand the <u>time</u> when the events were recorded and the commands given. Whenever there is a time gap between one passage and another, there may have been a change in the circumstances. This difference will explain some apparent discrepancies and remove any hint of a contradiction.

In this group we can include those "moral difficulties" having to do with the conduct of people in Old
Testament times—the difficulty of understanding why God
commanded Israel to exterminate the Canaanites when Christ
said we are to love our enemies—of understanding why
polygamy and other things were practised by men of the Old
Testament.

People of the Old Testament didn't have a complete understanding of God's ways--not having the fulness of the Holy Spirit to guide them as those living after the coming of Christ. It was those "times of ignorance" that God "winked at" or overlooked (Acts 17:30). We cannot judge the conduct of people living in Old Testament times by the standard given by Christ and His apostles.

Who is Speaking?

Some difficulties are caused by carelessly <u>assuming</u> who is doing the speaking. Words are even attributed to God when Satan is actually the speaker. When we say that the Bible is the Word of God--<u>inspired</u> by God with His authority--we don't mean that God is the speaker in every single quotation the Bible contains.

The Bible often records what others say--good men, bad men, inspired men, angels, holy or fallen and Satan himself.

The <u>record</u> of what they said is absolutely true, but the <u>words</u> they said may or may not be true. Genesis 2:16 says: "Thou shalt surely die" and Genesis 3:4 says, "Ye shall <u>not</u> surely die." Is there a contradiction?

No! The first verse gives the words of God--the latter, the words of Satan. It is true that the Devil said these words recorded in Genesis 3:4, but he told a <u>lie--a</u> lie believed today by those who say man has an immortal soul.

Careless readers of the Bible don't notice who is doing the talking. They will tear a verse right out of context regardless of the speaker. Many difficulties in understanding the Bible arise from not noticing who is speaking. Always notice who is speaking and what is said. The Bible will sometimes record what a man said to God which may or may not be true.

In studying the Bible, if God is the speaker, we can believe what He says. If an inspired man is the speaker, believe what he says. If an uninspired man is the speaker, judge it according to the law and the testimony of what God has said and revealed (Isa. 8:20). What is said is perhaps true, perhaps false.

If the Devil is speaking, we need to remember that he was a liar from the beginning, but even he <u>could</u> tell the truth at times, or he will mix truth with error.

We should ask ourselves: "whose are these sayings?

Are they recorded as being inspired, or inserted as a mere

matter of history? Does the writer endorse the statements as being true, or merely record them? The answer to these simple questions will often be the only solution a problem needs.

<u>Differences Between Bible Writers</u>

Even between the inspired writers of the Bible there may appear to be disagreements or contradictions.

One reason is because a basic idea can be said in different ways. When Christ was tempted by Satan, He quoted from the Old Testament and said: "It is written, That man shall not live by bread alone, but by every word of God" (Luke 4:4 and compare with Mat. 4:4). But the original quotation in Deuteronomy 8:3 says: "Man doth not live by bread only, but by every word that proceedeth out of the mouth of the Lord doth man live." Both scriptures convey the same basic idea though phrased differently.

Inspiration doesn't prevent a writer from using his own personal method of expression. Haley says:

Inspiration does not destroy the individuality of the writers. It deals primarily with ideas, rather than words. It suggests ideas to the mind of the writer, allowing him, generally, to clothe them in his own language. In this way his individuality is preserved, and his mental peculiarities and habits of thought make themselves felt in his writings. On this principle we account for the marked difference of style among the sacred writers, as well as for their occasional divergences in setting forth the same idea or in relating the same circumstance. (John W. Haley, Alleged Discrepancies of the Bible, pp. 6, 7.)

We can compare Isaiah 61:1,2 with Luke 4:18,19 and see how Christ quoted from the Old Testament. He didn't follow it word for word. Also notice how Matthew condenses Isaiah 9:1,2 in Matthew 4:14-16.

An inspired Bible writer was not required to follow the same routine of words. When New Testament writers quoted from the Old Testament, they didn't think it wrong to modify those quotations. They grasped the sense and basic thought of the Old Testament writer and then molded that thought into the form that would best serve the people to whom they were writing. This principle accounts for the apparent discrepancies between the phraseology of the Old Testament and the citations in the New.

Writers Differ in Approach

We need to consider also the point of view and objective of each writer. Two Bible writers may approach the same subject from two different angles. Both accounts must be studied to see the whole truth.

Writers also have used different methods of arrangement. One writer may follow a strict chronological order. Another groups associated ideas together. While one will write history in detail as the events took place, another will omit some events, condense others, or expand them. One writer may give us an orderly, well-constructed biography, but another may give us merely a series of

stories grouped together to illustrate some specific point.

In recording the same event, different writers may record different circumstances, some giving more facts and some fewer. A more complete account will include the facts given in the shorter one and the shorter account will not contradict the more complete one.

This solution is especially useful when studying the four Gospels. The differences in the four Gospels are due to the fact that the writers did not depend on one thing nor draw their accounts from one source. Each one gives only an incomplete history, introducing those details with which each writer was familiar.

If we knew <u>all</u> the circumstances of the case, those which we <u>now</u> know would seem to fit perfectly into their appropriate places in the account. Not one of the Gospel writers gives, <u>nor intended to give</u>, ALL the details. Each selects those particular facts which seem to him to be the most important and passes by incidental points.

Each writer records only what referred to his own particular purpose or experience. Thus, many of the minor connecting facts have not been preserved and recorded. Therefore, we must fill in the missing facts ourselves by resorting to deduction and possible explanations.

Here is what Collett says about this point:

Let those who imagine they have discovered errors and contradictions in the evangelists' writings remember how easy--indeed, how natural--it is to give three or four accounts of one circumstance from different points of view, each account being quite different from the others, and yet all absolutely correct. (Sidney Collett, Scripture of Truth, p. 140, emphasis mine.)

The Gospel of Matthew doesn't follow any chronological series of events or instructions, but groups together associated ideas that portray by living pictures what Christ was like in various circumstances. Mark and Luke are generally chronological.

Each writer followed his own method of arrangement and told his account in his own way. Because the personalities of writers differ in the way they express themselves doesn't mean they disagree with each other.

How Was Time Reckoned?

Writers may also differ in <u>reckoning time</u>. The nation of Israel used both a civil and sacred calendar. The sacred year began in the spring with the month Nisan or Abib. The civil calendar was reckoned as beginning in the autumn with the month Tishri.

If two writers disagree on the month and day of an event, we must see whether or not they use the same calendar for reckoning. If one bases his date on the sacred calendar for his computation, both would actually be correct once we understand the difference in reckoning.

Some say John 19:14 contradicts Matthew 27:45.

John describes events before the crucifixion which he says took place at the "sixth hour." Matthew says darkness covered the land after the crucifixion from the sixth to the ninth hours. Mark 15:33 and Luke 23:44 say the same.

Obviously, John is using the Roman reckoning of time--counting from midnight. The Jewish State was then under Roman control. To John, the "sixth hour" was 6 o'clock in the morning. According to the Jews' reckoning of time, this was the <u>first hour</u> of the day.

When Bible writers seem to disagree as to numbers and dates, there is no discrepancy unless it can be proven that they intended to reckon from the same point and by the same method.

Considering the opinions the writer opposed when reading what he wrote, is another important point. The epistle of I John takes on an entirely new meaning when we understand that John was writing in opposition to the false teaching of Simon Magus that was creeping into the Church.

The apostle Paul continually attacked vegetarianism in his letters. He writes about this problem in Romans 14 and again in I Timothy 4:1-4 where he also opposes celibacy. In Philippians 3:1-9, Paul fights the idea that physical circumcision makes a man righteous.

In Colossians 2:16, Paul is not referring to the law of Moses or to rituals. Colosse was an area of asceticism and God's people were being criticized for fellowshipping, eating and drinking on the Holy Days.

What Did the Writer Mean?

Words of each writer in the Bible should be understood in the light of how they are normally used by that writer. What is the meaning the writer meant to convey? Matthew, for example, uses the term, "kingdom of heaven" whereas the other gospel writers consistently say "kingdom of God" (compare Mat. 3:2 with Mark 1:15). Matthew certainly didn't mean that the kingdom was in heaven. The word "of" denotes ownership, not locality. It is the kingdom belonging to God who rules from His throne in heaven. This is what Matthew meant to convey.

The apostle John often uses the term "little children" in his first epistle (I John 2:1; 3:7, 4:4).

Obviously he's not writing to actual children. He's writing to the "children of God" (I John 3:10)--those who have been spiritually begotten.

When we know the character, age, religious background, nationality and other peculiarities of the writer, we can find the solutions to many difficulties.

CHAPTER IV

THE LANGUAGE OF THE BIBLE

To some people, the language in which the Bible was written will make it difficult for some parts to be clearly understood. Lightfoot says: "The greatest difficulties of the Scripture lie in the language; unlock the language and phrases and the difficulty is gone."

Since the Bible is a book for all ages and for all kinds of people, it was written in the kind of language and terminology that could be understood by the common people of all ages.

As Torrey says:

The most plain and obvious meaning of any passage in the Bible is always to be preferred to a subtle and ingenious one; for the Bible was written for plain, honest-minded, humble minded, common folk and not for a few sublimated mystics (R.A. Torrey, The Importance and Value of Proper Bible Study, p. 70).

The Bible is not a scientific textbook. As Tuck explains:

The object of the Bible is not to teach science, but moral and spiritual truth. Scientific facts and truths may be discovered by the intellect and industry of man, and hence no revelation of them is needed. But our origin and destiny, our relations to God, the way of peace and purity, the link between here and the hereafter—the highest wisdom of man has only guessed at these things, and here comes the need that God shall speak (Robert Tuck, Handbook of Scientific and Literary Bible Difficulties, p. 233).

Though the Bible does give the basic foundation for understanding science, it is written in language for the average man--not in some technical and scientific terminology that could be only understood by scientists and experts in fields of technology. "Each book was strictly adapted to the apprehension, and the capacity, of those who first received it" (Ibid., p. 234).

When the "sun stood still" during the time of Joshua's long day, the Bible is not speaking in the language of scientists. Technically the earth may have stopped rotating. But to the observer on earth the "sun stood still."

Tuck makes this conclusion:

Beyond the legendary chapters of Genesis, which demand a separate and distinct treatment, there is no scientific statement in the whole Word of God that is gravely disputable, or beyond reasonable, easy, and common-sense explanation. When we have ceased, in familiar speech, to talk of the "sun rising and the sun setting," we may begin to complain of the Bible writers expressing themselves in the line of their natural observation rather than in the line of scientific precision (<u>Tbid.</u>, p. 235).

Is the Language Figurative?

Much of the Bible is written in the form of poetry or in language that is descriptive or figurative. The description of a thunderstorm in Psalm 18 is a good example. In Psalm 42:9, David describes God as a rock and Psalm 91:4 speaks of His wings and feathers.

These descriptions are not to be understood literally. The spiritual attributes of God are compared to physical objects so that we, as human beings, can more adequately comprehend spiritual beings.

There would be no end of such "absurdities" if you were to interpret such passages in a literalistic and matter-of-fact way. We can know when a scripture is speaking in a figurative language if we are honest and use common sense when studying it.

Angus gives this rule about figurative language:

To ascertain, therefore, the meaning of any passage of Scripture, whether the words be employed figuratively or literally, we must ask the following questions: What is the meaning of the terms? they have but one meaning, that is the sense. If they have several, we then ask, Which of those meanings is required by other parts of the sentence? If two or more meanings remain, then, What is the meaning required by the context, so as to make a consistent sense of the whole? If, still, more than one meaning remains, What then is required by the general scope? And, if this question fails to elicit a clear reply, What then is required by other passages of Scripture? (Joseph Angus, The Bible Handbook, p. 200.)

What did the writer intend to convey? We must not read a meaning of our own into that of the writer. "We must interpret literally everything which was meant to be interpreted literally, and we must interpret figuratively everything that was meant to be interpreted figuratively" (Martin Anstey, How to Master the Bible, p. 14).

When Christ said to a certain man, "Let the dead

bury their dead" (Luke 9:60), He could not have been speaking literally. He was talking about people who are spiritually dead--those without spiritual life--the unconverted (see I Tim. 5:6). Christ was calling this man to be his disciple in preaching the kingdom of God. This was a far more important calling than looking after elderly people until they die. Others who have no spiritual understanding can take care of such physical matters as burying the elderly when they die.

An Important Rule

Another rule to remember is: Any passage in the Bible should be understood as those who were addressed would have understood it. Words that were addressed to any people were generally intended to be understood by them.

Paul wrote to the Corinthians and said, "Upon the first day of the week let every one of you lay by him in store, as God hath prospered him" (I Cor. 16:2). Did he mean they were to collect an offering at their Sunday morning church service? The booklet, Which Day is the Sabbath of the New Testament? by Mr. Armstrong explains this was not the case at all. The Corinthians knew and understood that Paul was referring to a collection of food and clothing for the poor church members at Jerusalem.

The Corinthians also knew that Paul was referring to meat offered to idols when he said, "Whatsoever is set before you, eat, asking no questions for conscience sake" (I Cor. 10:27). The idea of eating unclean meat such as pork and rabbit didn't enter their minds. Yet, these scriptures are misunderstood today because people don't read Paul's letters from the point of view of those who received them.

Paul's letter to Philemon cannot be really understood at all unless you know the background and what was on Paul's mind. Onesimus was once Philemon's slave who ran away and then became converted through Paul's preaching. Paul tactfully requests Philemon to take Onesimus back--not as a bondslave, but as a brother in Christ. Paul really wanted Philemon to willingly release Onesimus of his duties so Paul could use him in carrying out the gospel (verses 13-14). The tact and diplomacy Paul used is remarkable to see once you read the letter in this light.

Another problem: some say the "fruit of the vine" in Matthew 26:29 means grape juice--that the word wine itself is not used in the Gospels in connection with the New Testament Passover. But, according to the Encyclopedia Biblica (article, "Wine"), "fruit of the vine" was an expression used by the Jews at that time in a benediction over the wine cup.

Figures of Speech

Everyone uses figures of speech, even though unknowingly. A figure is a word used out of its ordinary sense or put out of its usual order in a sentence. Or it could be a sentence constructed in a peculiar form such as by expressing something in an unusual manner. It is a deviation from a natural and simple way of expression.

A figure may not be true to fact, but it is true to feeling. These figures of speech are often used in the English language. We may say, "the ground is thirsty" or "the crops suffer." These are not so true to fact, but truer to reality and to feeling.

When we say "the kettle boils" we do not mean the kettle literally, but that the water in the kettle boils. Similar figures of speech were used in the Hebrew and Greek languages of the Bible.

How can we recognize a figure of speech? Bullinger says:

Whenever and wherever it is possible, the words of Scripture are to be understood <u>literally</u>, but when a statement appears to be contrary to our experience, or to known fact, or revealed truth; or seems to be at variance with the general teaching of the Scriptures, then we may reasonably expect that some figure is employed. And as it is employed only to call our attention to some specially designed emphasis, we are at once bound to diligently examine the figure for the purpose of discovering and learning the truth that is thus emphasized. (E. W. Bullinger, <u>Figures of Speech Used in the Bible</u>, p. xv.)

In his work of over 1,000 pages, Bullinger has classified 217 figures. There are figures that affect words and others that affect thoughts. There are figures involving omission, change, repetition and addition. The Greeks gave them such names as Ellipsis, Metonymy, Synecdoche, Asyndeton, Hypocatastasis and Metaphor.

Examples of an ellipsis or omission are found in Matthew 5:20 and II Thessalonians 2:9. The ellipsis in these cases has been supplied by the translators and is given in italics in the King James Version. An example where the ellipsis is not supplied is in I Corinthians 7:19 which should be correctly rendered as: "Circumcision is nothing, and uncircumcision is nothing, but on the contrary the keeping of the commandments of God is something."

Bible writers will also use figures of speech involving change. Certain things may be said to be done by one man in one place whereas in another place it may be said to be done by another person who acted on his behalf (see Mat. 8:5-6 compared with Luke 7:2-3 and Mark 10:35 compared with Mat. 20:20). Sometimes the plural is used when the remark is actually to be applied to one person only (see Mat. 26:8 and John 12:4; Mat. 27:44 and Luke 23:39-42). In these cases there is actually no contradiction.

Figures of speech involving repetition and addition will usually present no difficulty. They are used for emphasis.

Hebrew Idioms

The King James Version of the Old Testament often uses the idioms and expressions of the original Hebrew. These are to be understood, therefore, not according to the English, but according to the Hebrew idiom.

When expressing comparison, for example, love and hate were used as an expression for preferring one thing to another. Genesis 29:31 says Jacob "hated" Leah, but the previous verse shows that it was only a matter of Rachel being <u>loved more</u> than Leah--a matter of comparison (see also Deut. 21:15).

The Jews in the time of Christ continued to use this type of expression. Thus it is said in Luke 14:26, "If any man come to me, and hate not his father. . ." whereas Matthew 10:37 says, "He that loveth father or mother more than me. . . ." It is thus clear that "hate" is a relative term that means our love for our parents is like hatred in comparison with the love we should have for Jesus Christ. We are not told to literally hate our loved ones.

Most, if not all, of these idioms and figures of

speech can be easily clarified by consulting a translation in modern English. A good translator will be aware of these expressions and will translate them into the English equivalent if possible. A translation is never as good as the original, of course, and there will invariably be some loss. This loss, however, will not affect our understanding of the basic doctrines and the truth of God's plan and purpose.

CHAPTER V

THE MEANING OF WORDS

Words mean different things to different people.

Bible verses have been misunderstood because a wrong

meaning was given to a word that was not intended by the writer.

Or the meaning of some phrases and passages may appear obscure.

This obscurity, in many cases, is due to our ignorance of some illustrative fact, or of the exact meaning of words; and many a misunderstood text has been cleared up by larger knowledge and deeper study. (Joseph Angus, The Bible Handbook, p. 260.)

Knowing how words are <u>used in the Bible</u> is essential before finding their correct interpretation. Seeing how words are used in the Bible is more important than what meanings have been given to them by lexicons, dictionaries, and commentaries. These types of books are often based merely on etymology—the origin of words—or on the meaning put on words by <u>tradition</u>, or on how the words were used at some time <u>other than</u> the time at which they were written or spoken in the Bible.

As Angus further says:

The sense of Scripture is to be determined by the words; a true knowledge of the words is fixed by the usage of language. Usage must be ascertained whenever possible from Scripture itself. The words of Scripture must be taken in their common meaning, unless

such meaning is shown to be inconsistent with other words in the sense, with the argument or context, or with other parts of Scripture. (<u>Ibid</u>., p. 180.)

Meaning of Words Can Vary

The usage of a word may change throughout different periods of time. Words are much like coins in that they can differ in meaning between different countries. Their meaning even changes at different periods of time in the same country.

Therefore, we must take great care when we try to find the meaning of words used in the Bible. We must know which English words are no longer used, which usage of English words has been changed, and also which usage of some Greek words has changed.

Not only have there been mistranslations, some

English words used in the King James Version have gone out

of use altogether!

Some English Words Now Obsolete

When studying the authorized King James Version, we need to keep in mind that it was translated from the original Hebrew and Greek manuscripts in 1611--over three hundred fifty years ago! Many words and expressions used at that time have since changed in meaning or become totally obsolete and archaic--have gone out of use altogether.

When we come across these words and expressions in the Bible, the correct meaning of the scripture will not always be clear. This is where modern translations are very helpful. All such obscure scriptures should be checked in a modern translation such as the one by James Moffatt or the new Amplified Version.

Some English words have not become <u>obsolete</u>, but have <u>changed in meaning</u> since the King James Version was translated. The word "prevent" is a good example. This word was originally meant <u>to precede</u> or <u>go before</u>, but now means <u>to hinder</u>. I Thessalonians 4:15 should therefore be corrected according to <u>today's</u> English thus: "We which are alive and remain unto the coming of the Lord shall not <u>precede</u> them which are asleep."

The word "charity" is frequently used in the thirteenth chapter of I Corinthians. This word meant love in 1611 A.D. It comes from the old French word charitet which means dearness. This dearness of affection gradually evolved into the mercenary act of giving money which is the origin of our word "charity" as we use it today. But "charity" no longer represents the Greek word agape which should be correctly translated "Love" in I Corinthians 13 instead of "charity."

The Usage of Some Greek Words Changed

Greek is a living language that has changed down through the centuries. When the Holy Spirit inspired the apostles to write the New Testament in Greek, it inspired them to use old Greek words with a new and expanded meaning attached to them.

The meaning of the Greek word ecclesia was expanded in this way. The Greeks used it only when referring to a town's meeting of its citizens (Acts 19:39), but the New Testament applies it to the assemblies or churches of God's people and to the people in the Church of God themselves. The Greek language was in use at least 1,000 years before Christ during which changes occurred. Regarding these changes of Greek usage made by man, Bullinger says:

But in the course of time the laws which operate to affect and change the usage of words wrought the same inevitable changes in many Greek words. For this reason classical Greek usages are no infallible guide to the usage of Biblical Greek. (E. W. Bullinger, How to Enjoy the Bible, p. 235.)

This is why lexicons may <u>not</u> have the correct meaning of a word if the definition is based on classical Greek. Classical Greek differs in many ways from the <u>koine</u> or "common" Greek used by the apostles. <u>Papyri</u> of documents of all kinds have been dug up in Egypt that are in Greek and belong to mostly the first and second

centuries before and after Christ. They have been a great help in affirming the exact sense and usage of Greek words used during that period.

This information that is continually coming to light sometimes clarifies and gives added meaning to the Scriptures. The Greek word apecho, for example, is generally defined as meaning to have from, to receive or be (distant) from. But the papyri show that it was the common form of giving a receipt in full. This is the way it is used in Matthew 6:2, 5 and 16.

When the hypocrites prayed, they did it to be seen of men. When men had seen them, therefore, they were given their receipt in full, or as the Amplified Bible has it, "they have their reward—in full already." There was nothing more for them to receive. They could expect no real answer to their prayers. Just to say they have their reward does not convey this more accurate sense of the Greek expression.

The papyri have also expounded the meaning of the Greek word charagma which means "a mark."

In the papyri this word (1) is always used for a mark connected with the emperor; and (2) it always contains his name or effigy, and the year of his reign. (3) It was necessary for buying and selling. (4) It was technically known as charagma. (Tbid., p. 241.)

This word is found in all kinds of documents--even on "a bill of sale." In the Book of Revelation, it is

used for the "mark" of the Beast who will be the Overlord of that day.

Greek Words Translated With More Than One Meaning

The Bible uses many Greek words in different connections and with various meanings. <u>Different words</u> in the original Greek (and in Hebrew as well) are often rendered by the <u>same word in English</u>.

Here is where the use of a lexicon and concordance will be a great help. Both Young's Analytical Concordance and Strong's Exhaustive Concordance are excellent for this purpose. With the use of these concordances, the Bible student can see at a glance, under the English word, the Greek word from which it is translated. The index will tell him whether the word is translated otherwise elsewhere—and if so, under what renderings he can find them.

He should turn to every passage where the Greek word is used and note how the Bible, inspired by the Holy Spirit, makes use of that word. When he has all the information before him, he will soon discover whether the usage is uniform or whether one Greek word has been translated as two or more different English words.

This type of study requires a spiritual understanding from God (I John 5:20; I Cor. 2:14), common sense, and strength of mind to follow the leading of God's

Word in spite of what beliefs we have received from tradition.

The Meaning of "Parousia"

One particular sect teaches that Christ is already ruling here on earth. To back up their belief, they state that the word "coming" was not correctly translated in the New Testament and that it should be "presence."

The original Greek word for "coming" is parousia, and can be translated correctly as "presence." But whether this word should be translated "coming" or "presence" really doesn't matter as long as we understand the context of the verses in which this word is used. We can substitute the word "presence" for the word "coming" in the following scriptures: Matthew 24:22-27; I Thesesalonians 4:15 and II Peter 3:10-12.

All these scriptures show that the coming or presence of God and Jesus Christ will be accompanied by supernatural events to be seen by everyone on this earth. When Jesus Christ returns to this earth, this world will know when this world-shaking event takes place. It will not be a "secret rapture" or only known to a select group.

Nowhere does the Bible speak of an "invisible second presence" of Jesus Christ. Obviously, Christ's presence cannot be achieved without His coming. Jesus

Christ will come in all His power and glory to put down all opposition and to rule all the nations of the earth with supernatural force. When Christ begins to rule the world, everyone will KNOW it!

Greek Words With a Uniform Usage

Not all Greek words have different usages. The vast majority have but one uniform usage and this should not be departed from in the English translation. Even though the violation of the principle may not lead to a misunderstanding or a wrong interpretation of a passage, it could cause great and unnecessary confusion.

As an example, if we were to make a study of the word "temptation" in the Bible, we would find that it has been translated from two Greek words--peirazo and peirasmos. We would find that the latter Greek word occurs 21 times and is rendered in all but one (I Pet. 4:12 where it is rendered "try").

But <u>peirasmos</u> is always used in the Bible in the sense of <u>trial</u> and especially in the sense of <u>trouble</u> or <u>tribulation</u>, because it is that which really <u>tries</u> a man better than anything else. This is clearly its use in Luke 8:13, "in time of <u>trial</u>, or trouble not temptation in the sense of enticement, the normal usage of the word fall away."

From this we can see that the word "temptation" is incorrectly used in Christ's prayer outline when He said, "And lead us not into temptation" (Mat. 6:13). Christ accually said, "Pray that you be not led into TRIAL." Or it could also be translated <u>tribulation</u> which can even include the Great Tribulation.

God will tempt no one (James 1:13). But God does allow trials to come upon us as Christians so that we can overcome and grow in the grace and knowledge of Him. The Israelites were put to trial in the wilderness (Deut. 8:15, 16). God led them into that TRIAL in order to test them.

But, do we need to be constantly led into trials?

Can we learn to obey God without Him bringing some trial upon us to help us grow as we should? We should pray that God would give us the strength to obey Him without having difficult trials come upon us. We should pray that God will not have to lead us into TRIALS. If we obey Him and live by His laws, this will not be necessary. But whatever God does will be for our good--whether it be through trials or not (Rom. 8:28).

Sometimes the <u>same</u> original Hebrew or Greek word is rendered by <u>different</u> <u>words</u> in English where it was thought important to have variety. This may lessen the effect of the sentence or suggest a difference in meaning where none exists.

As an example, the Hebrew word <u>sheol</u> and the Greek word <u>hades</u> are rendered thirty-one times as "the grave," thirty-one times as "hell" and three times as "pit."

On the other hand, <u>different</u> Greek words have been rendered with the <u>same</u> English word. An example of this is found in John 21:15-18. The English word "love" was translated from two entirely different Greek words used in this passage. One is <u>agapas</u> and the other is <u>phileis</u>.

Agapas is used to always mean love--any kind of love. It was this word that Jesus used when He addressed Peter in verses 15 and 16. But both times Peter used the word phileis when answering Him. This word simply means friendship or affection--the kind that Peter would naturally have had towards the rest of the disciples. In effect, Christ asked, "Do you love me, Peter?" And Peter replied, "Yes, I like you." But Jesus wanted Peter to love Him with a true spiritual love, with agape, not merely philia.

The third time Jesus put the question to Peter, He used the word phileis, the same word Peter had used to answer Him on the two previous occasions. Peter again used the same word in reply. The great commission that Christ was giving Peter, that of feeding God's people with the true spiritual knowledge that would lead them towards eternal salvation, required the greater, the agape,

kind of love. But Jesus knew that Peter would soon have that deeper, spiritual love after He sent the Holy Spirit.

This real meaning of the original Greek is lost in the King James Version. The <u>Amplified Bible</u>, however, expands the translation and shows the variation.

The peculiarities of the Greek language are nowhere more instructive and beautiful than in the use of the article. But some misunderstand how it is used. The interlinear of the <u>Diaglott</u>, used by the Jehovah's Witnesses, translates John 1:1 as, "In a beginning was the word, and the word was with the God, and <u>a</u> God was the word."

There is no indefinite article in Greek. There is a definite article, however, and whenever it is used, the noun is designated as being specific and is pointed out as a certain one of a class or group. Therefore, "the God" is specifically God the Father in this verse--not just any God.

When the article is not used in the Greek language, a noun then becomes indefinite and generalized. It should be understood, however, that whenever the Greek word Theos is written without the article, this does not mean that it should be translated "a God." When we understand that there is a family of God or a group of God-beings, we can understand the meaning of John 1:1. It should be

correctly translated thus: "In a beginning was the word, and the word was with the God, and a God-being was the Word."

This verse is describing Jesus Christ as being the Word who was with God the Father in the beginning and it shows that Christ also was in the family of God. He was a God-being--a person in the God-family, but apart from the God, God the Father. He was not "a god" or merely one of the innumerable gods of the pagan religions of that time, but He was the One who became the only begotten Son of God. When all these facts are put together, the meaning of this verse becomes very plain.

The rule to remember when trying to solve this type of difficulty is: Get the most reliable text, find the exact and literal meaning of the text and then note the exact force and precise meaning of each word used.

The precise meaning of words can be important in understanding some scriptures. Notice that II Corinthians 3:7 is about the ministration of death written and engraven in STONES--not TABLETS of stone on which the Ten Commandments were written. These whole stones are mentioned in Deuteronomy 27:1-6 on which was written the CIVIL LAW--the statutes and judgments--which included the administration of the death penalty (see the article by Mr. Meredith, Is Obedience to God Required for Salvation?).

In Ephesians 4:26 it says to "Be angry and sin not." This is not anger in the ordinary sense, but rather righteous indignation. Christ felt this kind of anger at the hardness of men's hearts (Mark 3:5). But even righteous indignation must not exceed proper limits. If one must be angry--that is, righteously indignant--let it not become sin.

CHAPTER VI

ERRORS OF COPYISTS AND TRANSLATORS

Some difficulties in the Bible may be due to errors made by men who copied the manuscripts or by those who translated the original Hebrew and Greek into English. We need to realize that the original manuscripts of the books that compose the Bible no longer exist. The original manuscripts were copied many times and though they were copied with great care and exactness, some minor errors crept into the copies that were made. But through careful study, we can know what the original text was.

The errors due to copyists are trivial for all practical purposes. In the Old Testament, they usually are in relation to numbers and the spelling of names—technical points that have no bearing on salvation and the purpose of life. Errors in figures would be easy to make, especially since Hebrew figures are made by using letters of the alphabet—letters that appear very much alike in many cases. As an example, the first letter of the Hebrew alphabet represents the number one. With two little points above it no larger than flyspecks, the number becomes a thousand. A copyist need only to make a very slight error to make a great change in figures.

There appears to be a copyist's error in II
Chronicles 36:9 compared to II Kings 24:8, regarding the
age of Jehoiachin when he began to reign. Eighteen is
correct as given in the book of Kings. The Amplified
Bible translates II Chronicles 36:9 as: "Jehoichin was
eight [teen] years old then; he reigned three months and
ten days in Jerusalem." The Hebrew letter for the numeral
ten seems to have been dropped by the copyist.

But we must be sure there truly is a copyist's error. The numbers given in II Samuel 24:9 appear to contradict those in I Chronicles 21:5. By examining them more closely, however, we see that in all of Israel there were 1,100,000 fighting men--of which 800,000 were "valiant" men. There were 500,000 men in all of Judah, but only 470,000 were fighting men. Therefore, 30,000 were non-combatants--priests, Levites, etc. There is thus no copyist's error in these verses.

Many other examples of difficulties connected with numbers could be given. But they do not affect the overall inspiration of the Bible. As Tuck explains:

But when all the cases are carefully reviewed, the impression is left on the devout reader that they concern only the literature of the book, and in no way affect its value as a revelation of Divine moral and religious truth. The utmost that can be said is that there are flaws in the frame of the picture, but common sense recognizes that flaws in the frame do not, in any way, affect the artistic truth and value of the picture. Only hard and fast—and therefore unreasonable—notions of Divine inspiration could lead

us to expect absolute accuracy in matters of mere detail, in which human skill was competent to act, and inspiration need not be concerned. (Robert Tuck, A Handbook of Scientific and Literary Bible Difficulties, p. 401.)

Men can make wrong estimates of numbers killed in a battle. They can copy records from State archives and make mistakes in the copying. We cannot expect God's Spirit to guide all men who make estimates on battle fields, who undertake the census of a population, or who copy a public document. God allowed this ordinary human element to creep into His Word. And when the Scriptures had to be copied by hand, errors in copying could occur.

But these trivial errors do not in any way affect what the Bible says about morals, religious doctrine or God's plan of salvation. Although there are minor errors in the text, there is not one important doctrine that hangs upon any doubtful reading of the text. "The highest authorities assure us that, in regard to the New Testament, the variations of any importance introduced by copyists amount to less than one-thousandth of the entire text; while the Hebrew documents of the Old Testament show even less variation still" (Sidney Collett, Scripture of Truth, p. 17).

Errors in Translation

More serious are the errors of the translators --

the men who translated the Hebrew and Greek texts into English. Translations of the Bible were not inspired by God. Even though they are done by men with academic ability, accurate translation is a complex matter. Tuck explains why:

It is seizing precisely the thought for which an author finds an adequate form of expression in his language, and finding for that thought an expression which shall be as adequate and precise for our language as his form of expression was for his language. (Robert Tuck, Handbook of Scientific and Literary Bible Difficulties, p. 478.)

Therefore, in some cases the English translation gives a wrong meaning to the words or expressions of the original Hebrew or Greek. One such glaring error is in Matthew 12:40 where we read that Jonah was swallowed by a "whale." Perhaps there are types of whales that can swallow a man, but, in any case, this is not an accurate translation. The original Greek word means "great sea creature." Christ was referring to Jonah 1:17 which says it was a "great fish" that swallowed Jonah.

Discrepancies may frequently appear in the King

James Version when none actually exist in the original

text. This is because the translators have used the same

English word to represent several Hebrew or Greek terms.

For example, Luke 13:24 would appear to contradict II Timothy 2:24 because of how the word "strive" is used. Different Greek words are used, but translated the same.

The term in Timothy should have been translated "fight" or "quarrel."

What is the Literal Meaning?

To solve these difficulties with the translation:

find out the exact and LITERAL meaning of the original

text. Acts 12:4 mentions the word "Easter," but is that
the exact meaning of the original Greek? No! The

original Greek word is pascha and is translated as "passover" in every other place it is used in the New

Testament.

False teachers use Revelation 20:10 to prove that people are tormented in an ever-burning hellfire. But any word in the King James Version that is written in italics is <u>not</u> in the original text. Italicized words have been supplied by the translators to clarify the meaning in English. In some cases, however, the meaning has been perverted.

Notice that the word "are" in Revelation 20:10 is in italics. The correct word should be "were" or "were cast." The devil will be cast into the lake of fire "where the beast and the false prophet were cast, and [the devil] shall be tormented day and night for ever and ever."

Marginal Renderings and Footnotes

All good Bibles have marginal notes or a center reference column. This can be a very useful and valuable aid to the Bible student. This column contains different renderings of words and phrases that are either the literal translation of the Hebrew or Greek where the English idiom requires a different form of expression, or are alternative translations in doubtful cases. In most cases the meaning will not vary to any great extent. But in some cases the marginal rendering will clarify a scripture without having to check a concordance or lexicon.

One example is found in Hebrews 2:14 which some use to prove that Satan the devil will be destroyed. The word "destroy," however, is in question. One Oxford edition of the King James Version uses numbers by words in the text to denote renderings given in the center reference column.

By the word "destroy" is the number 5. When we find the number 5 in the center reference column, we see a note which says, "Or <u>annul</u>." In other words, the Greek word translated "destroy" in Hebrews 2:14 could also be translated, as it is in other places, "make of no effect," or "make avoid."

Christ will annul Satan's power, make him of no effect. Satan will be made powerless, all his power and

authority stripped from him by Jesus Christ at His return.

This verse in Hebrews 2:14 does not teach that Satan will be literally destroyed (see Mr. Armstrong's article, "Satan's Fate").

Another example is in Luke 17:21 which some people take to mean that God's kingdom is set up in our hearts. First of all, they don't realize that Christ was talking to the Pharisees. Is the kingdom of God "within" the Pharisees? Of course not. The marginal rendering is, "in the midst of you." The representative of God's coming kingdom, Jesus Christ, was right there among the Pharisees—not in them.

Kinds of Marginal Renderings

The center reference column also gives references of Old Testament passages that are quoted in the New Testament. Various editions of the Bible, of course, will differ as to what material is given in the center column, but generally the marginal references will fall into seven classifications as given by Anstey:

- 1. Quotations or direct citations of one passage of Scripture in another passage.
- 2. Parallels, in which there is a certain similarity of thought or expression in two independent passages.
- 3. Illustrations, in which one passage throws a certain measure of light upon the meaning of another.
- 4. Explanations, in which the meaning of the original is further elucidated and defined.

- 5. Interpretations, or fulfilments, in which the meaning of the original is further developed, additional or further truth being incorporated with the truth expressed in the original.
- 6. Adaptations, in which the original thought is modified and exhibited in relation to some new set of circumstances.
- 7. Applications, in which a general truth is brought to bear upon the circumstances of some particular occasion. (Martin Anstey, How to Master the Bible, pp. 114-119.)

Summaries of Chapters

The summaries of chapters in the authorized King James Version are not in the original manuscripts and, therefore, are without authority and not inspired. Some are attempted explanations as in the case of the Song of Solomon. Others are not wholly accurate. For these reasons, chapter summaries cannot always be relied on.

The system of dividing the Bible into chapters and verses also was not inspired by God. Chapters and verses are helpful in finding passages in the Bible, but this system of division has often obscured the meaning of Scriptures by breaking sentences in the middle or by separating thoughts that should be joined together. Too many people merely lift a verse out of its setting and read a false meaning into it because they don't read the context in surrounding verses.

Some of the chapter divisions are inaccurate and tend to break the story flow. Matthew 16:28 puzzles many

people because of this. There shouldn't be a chapter break after this verse. If a person continues to read, he can find what Christ meant when He said, "There be some standing here, which shall not taste of death, till they see the Son of man coming in his kingdom."

The answer is in chapter 17, verse 9. The disciples saw <u>a vision</u> in which Christ appeared in His glorified state--as He will be when He returns to set up His kingdom.

Because of such imperfections, a new system of supplementing the chapter-verse division with paragraph arrangements has been adopted in many of the newer revisions and translations of the Bible. This often helps the reader to better comprehend the subject matter.

CHAPTER VII

MORAL DIFFICULTIES

The so-called "moral difficulties" are some of the most perplexing ones found in the Old Testament. They include the practice of slavery, polygamy, warfare and acts of treachery, deception and cruelty. But they can be solved by applying a few basic principles.

We need to first realize that God did not reveal
His complete plan and will for mankind all at once. God's
Revelation was given in stages. Much of what was revealed
by Christ and the apostles in the New Testament was not
available to those in Old Testament times. Tuck points
this out by saying:

The so-called "moral difficulties" of the Old Testament Scriptures are, to a very large extent, created by those who cannot recognize that Divine revelation has been given to men in stages, with an evident progression towards completeness, and in each stage with precise adaptation to the associations and the capabilities of the age (Robert Tuck, $\underline{\mathbf{A}}$ Handbook of Biblical Difficulties, p. 11).

God <u>allowed</u> ancient Israel to follow certain customs existing in the society of that time. They were not altogether good and will not exist in God's Kingdom during the coming World Tomorrow when people are converted to a more perfect way of life. But God permitted these customs, and outright sins in some cases, until the

people were ready to adopt a better way. As Tuck further says, "Many of the permitted things of the Old Testament are allowed because of their agreement with man's accepted bye-laws, and not because they are absolutely right" (<u>Tbid.</u>, p. 13).

Why God Permitted Slavery

The practice of slavery is an example of what God allowed, but which is something that will not be a part of a perfect society. Slavery was so universal among the nations at that time and so deeply seated, it was not practical to do away with it all at once. So God, for the time being, permitted slavery but carefully protected the rights of Hebrew slaves and even made the life of foreign slaves far more pleasant than was the case elsewhere.

God gave judgments to <u>regulate</u> slavery and to prevent the worst abuses and evils of it from affecting the Israelites. Harsh treatment and strictness was definitely prohibited (Lev. 25:43, 46, 53 compared with Ex. 1:13, 14).

God's way is to eliminate the <u>causes</u> of slavery.

While slavery probably began with the custom of taking captives in war, it later was mostly the <u>result of</u>

<u>poverty</u>. Slavery was the chief refuge of the poor, weak and unfortunate since there was no welfare plan like the

Western nations have today. If a man could not pay off his debts, he could sell himself and his family as slaves (Lev. 25:39, 47; II Kings 4:1).

Since slavery was so common, there was little stigma and degradation attached to it. Slaves were regarded as true members of the family. Although they had no civil rights, they nevertheless were regarded as fellowmen with full religious rights. The Israelite in such a condition was not even to consider himself as a slave or "bond-servant," but as a "hired servant" or free wage-earner (Lev. 25:39, 40).

Even in the New Testament, slavery is accepted and no where abolished. Jesus Christ did not come the first time to alter social customs, to change governments or to introduce a new society. He came to preach the Gospel--to teach principles of a new way to live. He revealed the truth. He explained the purpose of life.

But neither Christ, or His apostles openly advocated the overthrow of slavery. Yet, their teachings had an influence in overcoming slavery and its hardship. Although slavery among the Jews had disappeared by the time of Christ, some of the Gentile Christians were holders of slaves—such as Philemon—and others were slaves themselves. Since slavery was a common practice under the Roman government, the apostle Paul gives many

instructions to the Christians living in this situation (Col. 4:1; Eph. 6:5-9; I Tim. 6:1-2; Titus 2:9-10; I Cor. 7:20-24).

Polygamy and Divorce

Polygamy is another practice which God <u>allowed</u>, but no where sanctioned or approved. The legal statutes made it illegal in ancient Israel, but if a man insists on breaking God's laws and committing this sin, God allows it although He will punish the transgressor. There's a penalty to pay for any sin committed. So it is with polygamy.

When the Biblical record is closely examined, it is evident that Abraham was not a polygamist. David was before his conversion, but completely repented of it later. Jacob was left with only one wife after his conversion (see Mr. Armstrong's article, "Here's the PLAIN Truth About Old Testament Polygamy"). We must consider when the act took place in a man's life before making a proper evaluation of his character. Yes, there was polygamy in ancient Israel, but it was a sin which God condemned. He never sanctioned it, but always punished those who practiced it.

The Israelites also practiced divorce and remarriage. God never legalized this practice, but Moses

allowed it because of the hardness of their hearts--their disobedient minds (Matt. 19:8-9). This was Christ's response to the question asked by the Pharisees: "Why did Moses then command emphasis ours to give a writing of divorcement, and to put her away?" (verse 7). But they were wrong. Moses didn't command them to divorce. Christ corrected the Pharisees by saying Moses "suffered" or allowed divorce.

The Pharisees were referring to the law given in Deuteronomy 24:1-4. Even in the King James Version these verses seem to imply that the people were commanded or instructed to divorce. But the Jewish translation gives a better rendering:

When a man taketh a wife, and marrieth her, then it cometh to pass, if she find no favour in his eyes, because he hath found some unseemly thing in her, that he writeth her a bill of divorcement, and giveth it in her hand, and sendeth her out of his house, and she departeth out of his house, and goeth and becometh another man's wife, and the latter husband hateth her, and writeth her a bill of divorcement, and giveth it in her hand, and sendeth her out of his house; or if the latter husband die, who took her to be his wife; her former husband who sent her away, may not take her again to be his wife, after that she is defiled; for that is abomination before the LORD; and thou shalt not cause the land to sin, which the LORD thy God giveth thee for an inheritance.

There is no command to divorce given here. The judgment of the law is in verse 4 and concerns what is forbidden after a man marries a woman, becomes displeased with her, gives her a bill of divorce and another man

does the same to her. When and <u>if</u> that happens, the law forbade her to remarry her first husband. This was to check a hasty divorce and prevent further degradation. The man had to consider the consequences. Once he divorced his wife and she remarried, there was no chance for him to get her back even though her second husband divorced her.

Christ taught plainly against divorce which is actually the same as polygamy. Instead of having more than one wife, a man who has married, divorced and remarried, has an actual wife and a discarded wife. The Bible makes it plain that polygamy or divorce is nothing less than adultery and a violation of the seventh commandment (Ex. 20:14; Rom. 7:2-3).

Why God sent Israel to War

Both the Old and New Testament explain that warfare is not God's Way. It is the transgression of the
sixth commandment: "Thou shalt not kill." It is contrary
to Christ's teaching to love our enemies and it destroys
faith in God to protect us and fight our battles for us.

Why, then, did God command Israel to fight against its enemies? Since Israel lacked faith and would not trust God to fight their battles for them, they became a warfaring nation. God allowed them to make this decision

just as He allows individuals to sin and allows nations to go to war. He permits it, but doesn't approve it.

But even though God allowed Israel to go to war,

He still wanted His <u>purpose</u> to be carried out. He wanted
the Israelites--the descendants of Abraham--to take over
and settle in the land He promised Abraham.

As Mr. Armstrong explains in his booklet, "Military Service and War," since Israel had made the decision to be a fighting nation, "God used them to do the fighting in driving out the inhabitants illegally possessing the land God had allotted to Abraham's descendants. Consequently God gave orders for them to do what fighting--and killing --was necessary to accomplish God's PURPOSE of putting them in the land of Promise!" (p. 33).

But even though God allowed it, that didn't make warfare right. It still was a sin which God permitted because He created men as free moral agents. God's purpose is to develop righteous character in mankind and this requires free moral agency.

So God used Israel to accomplish His purpose of exterminating the Gentile inhabitants of the Promised Land. This is why He ordered them to go to war. He told them to do what was required to fulfil His purpose. This is God's prerogative since He has the right to take human life. He merely used Israel as His instrument and executioner.

The actions of the prophets and kings must be regarded in this light. Since Israel was a warfaring nation, they used strategy, deception and fighting skills to overcome their enemies. So Elisha deceived the King of Syria by strategem (II Kings 6:19). David's treatment of the Moabites (II Sam. 8:2) was right according to the rules and customs of warfare. All of them could have been killed, but David, through mercy, spared a full third of them.

Sinful Actions of Righteous Men

People are shocked and bewildered when they read of the sins committed by the prophets and kings in the Old Testament. How could such righteous men like David,

Abraham, and Moses--men God used--commit such atrocious acts as are described in detail?

The Bible, like no other book, contains moral teaching. It tells us how to live rightly in obedience to God and His laws. The Bible teaches by giving examples of what happened when men disobeyed God. It graphically portrays the end result of sin. By reading these examples, we should know how to avoid the same pitfalls and making the same mistakes (I Cor. 10:6-14).

Both good and bad points in a man's character are revealed in the Bible. His faults, failings and sins as

well as virtues are there for all to see. Tuck gives the reason why:

The direct relations of Scripture to the teaching of morals comes out in nothing so impressively as in the fact that the character and conduct of the persons introduced are fully and honestly detailed. In the ordinary biographies of gifted and good people we always have one-sided pictures. The human faults are hidden or slurred over, and palliated. . . . Exactly the opposite is true of the Scriptures. Their mission is moral; so they give us the men as they really were, and expect us to call their moral failings by their right names, and to learn from their sins as well as from their virtues. (Robert Tuck, A Handbook of Biblical Difficulties, p. 14.)

Abraham was a man of great faith. But the Bible records a time when he lacked faith and committed a sin. When he instructed Sarah his wife to tell the Egyptians she was his sister (Gen. 12:11-33), he not only told her to lie but showed by this action that he lacked faith in God to preserve both himself and Sarah from the dangers confronting them in Egypt. This God did in spite of Abraham's transgression and weakness.

Moses' impulsiveness is illustrated on three occasions: (1) when he slew the Egyptian (Ex. 2:11-12), (2) when he dashed down the tables on which were written the Ten Commandments (Ex. 32:19) and (3) when he smote the rock twice at Meribah, instead of only speaking to it as God commanded (Num. 20:3-13).

Gideon had good intentions but did wrong by trying to take the arrangement of the religious affairs into his

own hands (Judges 8:27). This act influenced all Israel to go a whoring after false gods.

Then we come to the example of David -- called "a man after God's own heart" (I Sam. 13:14). His sins and weaknesses are vividly illustrated. But so are his good points. God recorded David's sin of adultery and his subsequent killing of Uriah the Hittite so he could have Uriah's wife Bathsheba for himself. But He also recorded his deep repentance (Psa. 51) in order that we might learn a valuable lesson from it. That lesson shows how merciful and long-suffering God is towards His people, and how much He is willing to forgive us if only we will recognize and rightly value the great sacrifice that Christ made to atone for our sins.

David's sin of numbering the people (II Samuel 24:1-17) puzzles some people. David's census was a sin, but it is not always wrong to take a census. The <u>purpose</u> of the census is what must be considered. Remember, Moses also numbered Israel (Ex. 30:12), but that census was directed by God for the purpose of obtaining money for building the tabernacle. That census was not wrong.

The census instituted by David was wrong, however. First, notice that Satan, not God, provoked David to number Israel (I Chron. 21:1). The census was inspired by Satan the Devil rather than God. Secondly, the sin of

David consisted in its being for the purpose of knowing how many warriors were available for some meditated plan of conquest. Notice that the military leader, Joab, directed this census. If David trusted in God to fight his battles, the number of warriors would not be necessary to be known. If David could know how many warriors he had in comparison with his enemy (I Chron. 21:3), that would satisfy his pride and vanity.

Thirdly, the census enabled David to institute a permanent system of taxation which was regarded, at that time, as a reproach upon the freedom of the people and not to be used by the king of Israel. For these three reasons, God regarded this census taken by David as a sin. David repented of this sin, however, but not before many thousands of people were killed because of it (I Chron. 21 and II Sam. 24).

Acts of Treachery

Ehud's treacherous assassination of Eglon (Judges 3:15-21) presents another difficulty. If God raised up Ehud to deliver Israel, how could he do such a thing? There is no doubt that treachery and assassination are wrong in God's sight. Although God raised up Ehud as the deliverer of Israel, no directive was given to Ehud to do what he did. God's purpose, however, is carried out

through the actions of men whether they be good or bad.

Tuck comments on this point by saying:

It is not always sufficiently recognized that, in using men as the agents for carrying out His purposes, God employs mortal beings, who put character into the method of their obedience. God orders the thing, but man finds the particular way of doing it. And so God may approve and reward the thing done, and even punish the agent for the sin in his method of doing it. An illustration of this may be found in the story of the violent Jehu (Robert Tuck, A Handbook of Biblical Difficulties, p. 13).

The story of Jehu's slaughter of the Baal worshippers is found in II Kings 10:18-28. God allows His servants to devise their own methods in carrying out His will.

It will become clear that, in many cases, God can approve, and even reward, a man for the thing done, when He must disapprove, and even punish a man for, the spirit and manner in which he has done it (Ibid. p. 62).

There are acts of apparent cruelty that may be difficult to understand. The account of Elisha cursing little children (II Kings 2:23-24) is one. All becomes clear when we understand that "little children" should be translated "youths," that "thou bald head" was an insolent epithet of contempt, and that these juvenile delinquents were expressing the sneers and sarcasms of their idolatrous parents. God's judgment on them was to teach others to honor and respect the office of God's minister.

Is God Merciful?

When we see how God punished people or directed

Israel to carry out the punishment, it appears that He is

overly cruel and heartless. We must examine all the

evidence to discern the real attitude of God.

Look at the destruction of the entire Canaanite population (Josh. 8:26-28). At first glance, it looks unmerciful. But, as Tuck says, "the national condition of the Canaanites called for an overwhelming national judgment" (<u>Ibid.</u>, p. 27). And here again we must remember that God's purpose must be fulfilled. Before the Israelites could safely dwell in the Promised Land, that land had to be cleansed of its idolatry, idolatrous symbols and relics and even of the corrupt and perverted people. Torrey further explains:

First of all, let us say, it is certainly appalling that any people should be utterly put to the sword, not only the men of war, but the old men and old women as well, and the young women and children. But there is something more appalling than even this, when one stops to think about the matter, and that is that the iniquity of any people should have become so full, their rebellion against God so strong and so universal, their moral corruption and debasement so utter and so pervasive, even down to babes just born, as to make such treatment absolutely necessary in the interests of humanity (R. A. Torrey, <u>Difficulties in the Bible</u>, p. 48).

It was out of mercy and kindness to the nation of Israel and the human race that God caused this cancerous

and corrupt nation to be destroyed. If it had been allowed to remain, Israel would have been corrupted by it.

The destruction of Amalek (I Sam. 15:2-3) is said to be one of the most perplexing difficulties in God's Word. We need to realize that the Amalekites as a people had done wrong against Israel. God demanded that the death penalty be exacted by Israel. The death penalty required the death or destruction of the guilty race.

God Requires Exact Obedience

Many difficulties can be solved by realizing that God requires exact and complete obedience to His instructions and punishes the disobedient. Uzzah had good intentions when he tried to keep the Ark from falling, but he had to die because God's instructions were not obeyed. The fault was mainly David's and Uzzah suffered because of it.

He died to awaken David to the importance of exact obedience to God's injunctions. He was an example of disobedience in touching the Ark, for which the stumbling of the oxen seemed a good excuse. The example, and the judgment following, opened David's eyes to see his disobedience in putting the Ark on a cart, when God had commanded that it should only be carried on the shoulders of the Levites (Robert Tuck, A Handbook of Biblical Difficulties, p. 35).

Compare I Chronicles 13:1-14 with chapter 15:1-2, 11-15. Uzzah's good intentions didn't excuse the failure to obey exactly as God had instructed. And because Saul

also disobeyed God and chose to spare the condemned king Agag, Samuel as God's prophet had to carry out the sentence by hewing Agag in pieces (I Sam. 15:33). About this incident, Tuck says:

Man must obey God just as God commands. Exact, unquestioning obedience is so absolutely essential as the foundation of morals and character, that even a scene like this of the killing of Agag may be necessary to get it fixed on the mind of a king, of a nation, and through the Bible, of the world. . . . but we may fairly regard it as the striking dramatic teaching of the all-important truth, that if man proposes to obey God, he must obey thoroughly and precisely (Ibid. p. 48, emphasis mine).

Another incident is recorded in I Kings 13. A prophet from Judah was told not to eat and drink while performing his mission in Bethel. But a prophet from Bethel lied to him and said: "I am a prophet also as thou art; and an angel spake unto me by the word of the LORD, saying, Bring him back with thee into thine house, that he may eat bread and drink water" (I Kings 13:18).

Instead of obeying God's exact instructions, this prophet from Judah trusted in the words of a man. Because of his disobedience, he was killed by a lion and buried in Bethel. It sounds so harsh, but we need to learn the lesson brought out by Tuck:

God requires a strict, precise, minute, and entire obedience of His commands. When He speaks, man must do at once, exactly and without questioning, what He orders. He must be even obeyed in the precise way He directs. It is not enough for man to obey, but to choose his own times and ways. To teach this lesson God shows that He will not pass over even small

failures from obedience in His trusted and honoured servants (<u>Ibid</u>. p. 53, emphasis mine).

The prophet from Judah was deceived, but this chapter is not about deceivers. It is about those who don't perfectly obey God. The prophet from Judah didn't carry out God's precise instructions and was punished for it as a warning to us and to all ages. These examples are vital lessons to us who read about them. They are written and recorded for our adminition (I Cor. 10:11).

CHAPTER VIII

DIFFICULTIES IN PROPHECY

Some people may consider the study of prophecy as being a specialized type of study and too difficult for them. But the subject is both necessary and of absorbing interest when rightly pursued.

The apostle Peter (II Pet. 1:19) exhorts the believer to give heed to the "light" which prophecy sheds upon the otherwise obscure and mysterious plan of God.

The word "prophecy" in the Bible is used in a twofold sense: (1) as meaning a <u>forth</u>telling or an
exposition of something; and then (2) as a <u>foretelling</u> or
a prediction of some future event. A prophet is one who
speaks <u>for</u> God or one who tells <u>before</u>--one who predicts,
foretells, prophesies.

In other words, the prophet both preached and expounded God's Will and purpose in the immediate present and then of His future purpose still to be fulfilled. The first was given in order to warn and encourage to immediate obedience—the second to reveal His plans which would never fail even if His people failed.

Prophecy sheds light on the darkness of the past, present and future. Looking at the <u>past</u>, prophecy illuminates and interprets history. Prophecies often

reveal why certain events in Biblical history were allowed to happen as they did. The history of the Babylonian captivity is one example of this.

As related to the <u>present</u>, fulfilled prophecy provides one of the strongest proofs of the divine inspiration of the Word of God. The predictions about Tyre, Sidon, Egypt and Babylon were written or uttered centuries before they became facts in history. By comparing the prediction with its fulfillment, we see proof that the prophet was inspired by God. See Mr. Armstrong's booklet, <u>Proof of the Bible</u> for an exposition of these prophecies.

Prophecy for the <u>future</u> encourages hope for a better world and inspires faith. What still remains to be fulfilled will come to pass. Faith to believe this is based upon what has already been fulfilled.

Not all prophecies are merely foretellings of catastrophic events meted out by the wrathful vengeance of a harsh God. Many of the prophecies foretell a time of peace, of happiness, of restoration, of joy, of great prosperity and physical wealth for those who are serving God. Many prophecies merely foretell, in advance, certain events which are to happen—the rise and fall of nations, the course of world affairs.

What is Prophecy?

Prophecy is a chronicle or outline of the great plan God is working out here below. It describes this plan in detail. Prophecy is history written in advance.

History is a chronicle of human systems being cut off from God. It is a record of events that have befallen man as a result of his rebellion against God's government rather than submitting to it. World historians try to center their information around rulers, powers and wars. To a lesser extent they covered religion, economics, education, etc. They wrote a chronicle of civilization man built without God.

God controls the affairs of men and nations, but historians rarely see this control. God's historians—the prophets—write ahead of time showing where man would go, how God will intervene and the course that His Plan will take. Prophecy takes up where history leaves off. It is the continuation of history in advance.

God works out future history through human agencies, even though He intervenes. Since God knows human nature, He knew in advance what man would do and how He would intervene in human affairs. He uses Israel primarily as His tool and is only concerned with other nations as they come in contact with Israel.

Study Methods

The student of Biblical prophecy can use two study methods. He may take each prophetical book separately and examine and analyse its contents sentence by sentence. Or he may trace certain subjects through the Bible as a whole. It would be best to study the books first and subjects afterwards.

In dealing with the books, the question of their date has to be considered. They have to be studied, so far as possible, in chronological order and in connection with the history of the times in which they were written.

The prophetic books fall into three groups: First, there are those written before the Captivity. In the northern Kingdom of Israel were Jonah, Amos, Hosea and Micah. In the southern Kingdom of Judah were Joel, Isaiah, Obadiah, Nahum, Habbakuk, Zephaniah and Jeremiah (part). The second group was written during the Captivity. This includes Jeremiah (part), Ezekiel and Daniel. The third group was written after the Captivity and includes Haggai, Zechariah and Malachi.

The following order of study is the best to begin with: (1) Isaiah, Hosea and Micah, (2) Jeremiah, (3) Daniel and Ezekiel, (4) Haggai, Zechariah and Malachi.

Once we have a general idea of the date of the

writer, we can consider the subject matter, characteristics of each book, and its main subdivisions. Disregarding the divisions into chapters in some cases, study the book in portions according to the nature of their contents.

This study will be helped by asking various questions as the Bible is read: What part is history and what prophecy? What part is visionary and what real? What is figurative and what literal? What statements are conditional and what absolute? What parts have been fulfilled since the prophecy was written, either sooner or later, and what still remain unfulfilled? What belongs to the Northern Kingdom of Israel and what to the Southern? What is for Israel and what is for the world at large?

Passing from books to subjects, there are certain chapters which may be regarded as keys to prophecy, either because they present a prophetic scheme in outline, or because they call special attention to subjects of special interest. The following are examples:

Genesis 12

Genesis 17

Genesis 49 Leviticus 26 and Deuteronomy 28

Deuteronomy 32

The outline promises made to Abraham and his seed.
Covenant confirmed with Abraham.
The blessing of the tribes.
The promises and threats set before the people when they were about to enter Canaan.
The prophetic song which

gives the scheme of Israel's

fall and rising again through all time.

Isaiah 11 Christ coming to rule. (tied in with Rom.11) Isaiah 13, 14 The fall of Babylon and the restoration of Israel. Isaiah 24-27 The restitution of all things. Isaiah 53 The Sin-bearer. Jeremiah 30, 31 The return of Israel and the making of a New Covenant. The punishment of Babylon. Jeremiah 50, 51 Ezekiel 38, 39 The role of Russia and the Asiatics in the latter days. Daniel 2, 7 The rise and fall of empires. Daniel 9 The Seventy weeks prophecy leading to Christ. Overall prophecy from Alexan-Daniel 11 der the Great to endtime. Daniel 12 The endtime. Hosea 7 Sin and punishment of Ephraim (Britain). Micah 4 Millennium ushered in. The downfall of those who Zechariah 12-14 attack Jerusalem. Malachi 4 The fate of the wicked. Matthew 24, 25 Christ's prophecy concerning the endtime and His second coming. Romans 9-11 The prospects for Israel and Gentiles. Revelation 6 Events preceding Christ's (tied in with Mat.24) return. Revelation 11 Return of Christ. Revelation 12 History and future of true Church. History and future of false Revelation 13, 17 Church. Revelation 20-22 Closing scenes in the world's history.

Arrangement of Prophecies

Knowing the proper arrangement of the prophecies gives a greater understanding of them. Fraser says:

The obscurity of the prophecies arises partly from the language in which they are conveyed, but chiefly from the manner in which they are arranged. The labours of the learned have already thrown so

much light on the language of prophecy, that it can be no longer unintelligible to the attentive reader. . . The arrangement of the prophecies is not so easy a matter; to bring together the several passages which refer to the same event, so as to view it by their united light (Alexander Fraser, \underline{A} Key to the Prophecies, pp. 7-8).

The prophets wrote about four main subjects:

(1) the nation of Israel, (2) Christ as the promised

Messiah, (3) the Gentile nations who come in contact with

Israel and (4) the endtime and the establishment of God's

government during the Millennium. As Angus says, "The

great end and theme of prophecy is CHRIST; either in His

person and office, or in the establishment of His kingdom

(Joseph Angus, The Bible Handbook, p. 246).

The Millennium

The Millennium is a period which the prophets speak about frequently and give many descriptions. When we see that the prophet is referring to the Millennium, it is a key to open up the meaning of the other events connected with it in the same section of prophecy. From their relation to the Millennium, we can know the general order of events in other prophecies.

Base all prophecies in the Old Testament on the summary outline given by Christ in Matthew 24 and the Book of Revelation. The overall outline of prophecy begins with Leviticus 26 and Deuteronomy 28-30. Daniel 2, 4,

7-12 bridge the gap between the Captivity of Israel to our day now. Daniel 2 and 7 with Revelation 17 and 18 fill in endtime details. Revelation 12 and 13 give others.

Matthew 24, Mark 13, and Luke 21 are major prophecies tying in with Revelation 4, 5, 6. This brings a tie in with Revelation 11, 20, 21.

Interpretation of Prophecy

The interpretation of prophecy is important and is governed by certain principles which need to be applied conscientiously if difficulties are to be overcome and errors avoided or corrected.

Here are seven important principles:

(1) Truth about Israel. Approximately one third of all the Bible is devoted to prophecy--and about 90 per cent of all that prophecy still pertains to our day, right now. Many whole books of Scripture, especially in the Old Testament--Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel, and all the so-called "minor" prophets--are devoted exclusively to prophecy.

The prophecies of the Bible have been grievously misunderstood because a vital key, needed to unlock prophetic doors to understanding, had become lost. That key is a definite knowledge of the true identity of the American and British peoples in biblical prophecy. It is the understanding of the fact that the twelve tribes of

Israel were divided into <u>two nations</u> called the House or Kingdom of Judah and the House or Kingdom of (ten-tribed) Israel.

Prophecies cannot be understood without this key.

And since seven-eighths of the entire Bible refer to the literal, social and historical affairs of Israel and Judah, not to understand the distinction between the House of Israel and House of Judah is to misunderstand seven-eighths of the Bible. The very understanding of the differences between the two houses of Israel is the key by which almost the entire Bible becomes intelligible.

This key is fully explained in Mr. Armstrong's book, The United States and British Commonwealth in Prophecy.

written in chronological order. Some events are grouped together, but are in actual fact widely separated in time order. The actual frame-work, or super-structure of all the prophecies is found in two prophetic books--Daniel and Revelation. And in the Book of Revelation alone do we find events of the various other prophecies co-related in order of time sequence. It is an index giving the general order of events and their relation to each other. This book is written with a story-flow, relating one future event to another in time order--with occasional

insets injected into the continuous story-thread. This is a vital key to understanding a large portion of the other prophecies. See Mr. Armstrong's booklet, Book of Revelation Unveiled at Last, for more details.

But God's Plan--His chronological time table-cannot be understood without knowing the meaning of the
Holydays. The Holydays mentioned in the twenty-third
chapter of Leviticus picture God's Plan for bringing about
His purpose. They picture the different epochs in the
Plan of spiritual creation. They mark the dispensations
and picture their meaning. Mr. Armstrong's booklet,
Pagan Holidays--or God's Holydays--Which? explains the
meaning of these Holydays.

(3) <u>Duality in Prophecy</u>. A duality runs through every phase of God's Plan. There was the physical creation and there is a spiritual one still in process. There was the first Adam--material and earthy. And there is the second Adam--Jesus Christ who was spiritual and from heaven. There was the Old Covenant with material promises and the New Covenant with spiritual aspects.

This same dual principle also runs through prophecy. First, there is a former or preliminary fulfillment which is merely a type or forerunner of a second and final climactic fulfillment which is to occur during this crisis at the close (Isa. 41:22 and Eccles. 1:9; 3:15).

The events mentioned in the prophecies may have already been fulfilled as a type sometime in the past. But the major fulfillment will take place in this twentieth century—in the next few years. This duality is a master key which unlocks dozens of prophecies. Many prophecies cannot be understood without applying this vital key.

- (4) New Testament Prophecies. Prophecies which concern the Church must never be confused with those referring to Israel as a nation and the future Kingdom of Israel. This especially applies to the prophecies in the New Testament.
- (5) <u>Bible Interpretation of Symbols</u>. Prophecy must be first understood as having a <u>literal meaning</u>. It is not always symbolical or figurative. It must be taken literally unless the context or scriptures elsewhere makes it clear that symbolical or figurative language is being used.

It is true, of course, that prophecy is often expressed in figurative language. But in all such instances, however, the natural and obvious meaning will be found within the context of the passage itself.

Symbols are also often used in prophecy. The book of Revelation especially is filled with symbols. A symbol is something which stands for something else.

These symbols are not always shown clearly as to what they

represent. God uses symbols to hide the meaning until His time should come for the meaning to be revealed. The Bible is written with "another tongue" (Isa. 28:10-11)--a tongue which uses unknown SYMBOLS. But the Bible always interprets its own symbols. God has to tell us what they mean as only He knows what they represent. God tells us the meaning in plain language in another part of the Bible, if not in the context itself. He uses the same symbolical word and explains clearly what it means there.

Therefore, if we don't understand the meaning of a symbolical word, we must look it up in a concordance. We must search for God's own interpretation and never apply our own. As an example, if you want to know what the "great red dragon" in Revelation 12:3 represents, locate the word "dragon" in the concordance. You will find that the word "dragon" also appears in Revelation 12:9 where it says the "great dragon" is called the <u>Devil</u>. Therefore, the "dragon" of verse 3 is the Devil.

(6) Context and Parallel Passages. Prophecy, like all other parts of Scripture, must be read in the light of its proper context. The total scheme of prophecy must also be considered. Isolated passages must be studied in relation to other prophecies on the same subject and in the same setting.

Several passages of the Old Testament prophecies

are quoted and explained in the New Testament. Every passage of this kind is a key to open up the whole section of prophecy connected with it.

Since no prophecy is of private (capricious) interpretation (II Pet. 1:20-21), each prediction must be compared with others on the same topic and with history-both secular and Biblical. "Parallel predictions will often throw light upon one another, and recorded fulfill-ments will explain predictions or parts of predictions still unfulfilled" (Joseph Angus, The Bible Hand-book, p. 246).

(7) <u>Language of the Prophets</u>. Girdlestone has well said:

That which makes the language of prophecy so vivid and yet so difficult is that it is always more or less figurative. It is poetry rather than prose. It abounds in peculiar words and expressions which are not usually to be found in prose writings of the same date. It is rich with allusions to contemporary life and to past history, some of which are decidly obscure. . . The course of individuals, the rise and fall of nations, the prospects of the world at large, are all rapidly portrayed in realistic language. As we read we ask ourselves whether the figures which thus pass over the page in such quick succession are to be interpreted literally or ideally (R. B. Girdlestone, The Grammar of Prophecy, p. 48).

The prophets often speak of the remote future as if it were the present from their point of view (Rom. 4:17). They also sometimes speak of the future as having already happened in the past or use terms for the future that have been borrowed from the past. And when the

precise time of individual events was not revealed, the prophets described them as being continuous.

They used certain prophetical expressions which have special meaning. The prophet Isaiah frequently uses the expression, "in that day." Whenever he says this, he means the "day of the Lord"—the <u>latter days</u> just before Christ returns (Isa. 2:2, 11-12). "Ye shall know that I am the Lord" is a favorite expression used by Ezekiel.

The prophets will give several parallel views of the same period of time. They run over the same events, yet enlarge in one view on events slightly touched in another.

At other times, the prophets briefly relate events, and afterwards enlarge on the whole or part of the period to which they are referred. Or sometimes they narrate the series of events briefly, and enlarge only on the concluding events. According to Fraser:

The prophets sometimes stop short in describing the progress of events, and introduce matter which, at first view, appears foreign to the subject. Upon investigation, it will be found that they pause to answer objections which naturally occur from their subject, and are tacitly understood, though not expressed. . . .

At other times the prophets interrupt the detail of events, in order to make a practical application of some important fact foretold; showing the influence it ought to have on those who hear it, but especially on those who see it accomplished, according to their several situations (Alexander Fraser, A Key to the Prophecies, p. 26, 28).

The prophets will also rapidly pass from one event

to another. Two events mentioned close together may be thousands of years apart in their fulfillment.

Enemies of the Church are described by the prophets as being the same as the former persecutors of Israel.

The term Babylon is used to signify Rome which is also described as being Sodom and Egypt.

Biblical prophecy can be understood. Daniel said the "wise shall understand" them (Dan. 12:9-10). The way to get wisdom--to come to understand the Bible prophecies—is to first fear and obey Almighty God (Prov. 1:7; 2:6; 9:10). Next, one must learn to respect, to fear, to tremble before the divinely-inspired Word of God--to fear to misapply, to misinterpret, wrest, distort and twist the sacred Word of God (Isa. 66:1-2). Girdlestone put it aptly when he said:

In a word, watchful care and accuracy in dealing with words, fidelity to the text, thorough study of the historical books which set forth God in Providence, an honest determination to be led by the Spirit of Truth and not by a foregone theory—these are the requirements of the man who would deal thoroughly and loyally with the prophetic Scriptures (R. A. Girdlestone, The Grammar of Prophecy, p. 105).

It is only when one has truly come to fear God, to obey God, has repented and received God's Holy Spirit, that true understanding of the prophecies of God can be comprehended (I Cor. 2:14).

CHAPTER IX

DIFFICULTIES IN DOCTRINE

We have already seen many rules and principles for solving Bible difficulties in this thesis. If these rules are followed when studying doctrine, little difficulty should be encountered. But a few additional guidelines can be given that will prove helpful.

A doctrine is what the Bible teaches about a particular subject. The apostle Paul said that <u>all</u> scripture is <u>profitable for doctrine</u> and instruction in righteousness (II Tim. 3:16). If doctrine is found in <u>all</u> of the Scriptures, then we must study all the Scriptures to find the complete and accurate Bible teaching about any particular doctrine. Angus affirms this:

To gather doctrinal truth from Scripture, we bring together all the passages that refer to the same subject, whether they be doctrines, precepts, promises, or examples; impartially compare them; restrict the expressions of one passage by those of another; and explain the whole consistently. (Joseph Angus, <u>The Bible Hand-book</u>, pp. 359-360.)

This is exactly what the prophet Isaiah said to do:

Whom shall he teach knowledge? and whom shall he make to understand doctrine? them that are weaned from the milk, and drawn from the breasts. For precept must be upon precept, precept upon precept; line upon line, line upon line; here a little, and there a little. (Isa. 28:9-10, emphasis mine.)

The key to many doctrines will be found in the New Testament. Christ, through His example and teachings, came to expound the laws given to ancient Israel. It is through His teachings and the instructions of the apostles that we can know God's will and purpose, His plan of salvation, the way we ought to live to conform to God's laws. It is from Christ that we can know God because He came to reveal the Father (John 14:7-11).

But some parts of the New Testament cannot be understood without knowing the Old Testament. A full knowledge of both the Old and New Testaments, compared to each other, is needed to correctly understand any doctrine.

Once we have gathered together all the passages on any one particular subject or doctrine, we can then determine what is the correct Bible teaching. Taking some scriptures and ignoring others will often result in a wrong conclusion and a false doctrine.

Study Plain Scriptures First

When studying doctrine, an important rule is:

Begin with the plainer scriptures and reserve the more
obscure ones until you have more knowledge. Base all doctrine on direct statements of scripture. Doctrine should never be based on obscure scriptures instead of the plain

and clear ones. The student needs to realize that some scriptures, if taken by themselves and out of context, can be made to say more than one thing. This is why it is important to study <u>all</u> the scriptures on any one subject to get at the truth. In such a study, begin with the plain and clear scriptures first.

When studying the subject of the law and Ten Commandments, here are the clear and plain scriptures to keep in mind: I John 2:4; 3:4; 5:2-3; Mat. 5:17; 19:17. These scriptures cannot be twisted to say that God's Law and His Commandments are abolished and no longer need to be obeyed.

If heaven and hell is the subject, begin with such scriptures as John 3:13 and Acts 2:34 before trying to understand John 14:2 and Luke 16. About the soul, Genesis 2:7, Psalm 146:4 and Ecclesiastes 9:5 are clear and plain. Matthew 10:28, on the other hand, is vague and obscure. Any such scripture must be understood in the light of the plainer ones. Angus gives this rule:

Where the doctrine of Scripture is important and necessary, the Scripture will be found full and clear. Where Scripture is not full and clear, the doctrine is either in itself not important, or the certain knowledge of it is unattainable in our present state. (Joseph Angus, The Bible Hand-book, p. 364.)

Doctrine should not be based solely on a parable.

The parables were not always written in clear and plain

language. They were written to hide the true meaning of Christ's teaching (Mat. 13:13-15). Because of their vagueness, parables lend themselves to fanciful interpretations. McClelland shows what meaning some Bible scholars give to a simple parable:

What can be more simple and intelligible than the parable of the good Samaritan, which so beautifully inculcates universal benevolence! It is absolutely transparent! Yet in the hands of some it turns out a perfect riddle, where the true significance is not obscured, but utterly lost. The man who fell among thieves, is the sinner; the thieves, are the devil and his angels; the priest who passed by on the other side, is the law; the Levite, is <u>legal</u> <u>obedience</u>. The good Samaritan, is Christ; the oil, is grace; the wine, comfort from the promises; the inn-keeper, is the Christian Ministry; the coming again, is death, judgment, and eternity. All this may be very pious; but we repeat our maxim, that no piety can give respectability to want of plain common sense. (Alex. McClelland, Canon and Interpretation of the Holy Scriptures, p. 250.)

The parable of Lazarus and the rich man (Luke 16) is used to support the false doctrine of eternal torment in hell fire. Those who believe in this doctrine have ignored the clear and plain scriptures on this subject and have read a meaning into this parable which is not there when we study the Bible as a whole. (See Mr. Armstrong's booklet, The Truth about Lazarus and the Rich Man.)

The Bible was not written in a clear-cut, right-down-the-line manner. In order for it to be preserved for us today, it had to be written in a way so people could interpret it to suit themselves, and so the atheists,

agnostics and critics could find fault with it and "stumble at the word, being disobedient: whereunto they were appointed" (I Peter 2:8).

Make no mistake about it. If the Bible is inspired by God, there can be no errors in it as originally written --because God could not make a mistake. The Bible plainly says, "The scripture CANNOT BE BROKEN" (John 10:35). The Bible CANNOT CONTRADICT ITSELF! There can be no real discrepancies. Collett affirms this fact by saying:

It should never be forgotten that in spite of what critics have said, no error or contradiction of any kind has ever been proved to have existed in the Scriptures as originally given by God! (Sidney Collett, Scripture of Truth, p. 81.)

And another Bible scholar came to the strong conviction that, "every difficulty and discrepancy in the scriptures is, and will yet be seen to be, capable of a fair and reasonable solution" (John W. Haley, Alleged Discrepancies of the Bible, p. x).

The more we study the Bible, the more questions will be answered. As our knowledge increases, more and more difficulties will disappear. God intends that we understand all the Bible.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Armstrong, Herbert W. Here's The Plain Truth About Old Testament Polygamy. Pasadena, California: Ambassador College Press, 1963.
- <u>Military Service and War</u>. Pasadena, California: Ambassador College Press, 1967.
- Pasadena, California: Ambassador College Press, 1957.
- Pasadena, California: Ambassador College Press, 1959.
- Prophecy. Pasadena, California: Ambassador College Press, 1967.
- Pasadena, California: Ambassador College Press, 1952.
- Angus, Joseph. The Bible Handbook. Revised by Samuel G. Green. London: The Religious Tract Society, 1910.
- Anstey, Martin. How to Master the Bible. London: S.W. Partridge & Co., Ltd.
- London: Marshall Brothers Ltd., 1913.
- Bullinger, E.W. <u>Figures of Speech Used in the Bible</u>. London: Eyre & Spottiswoode, 1898.
- How to Enjoy the Bible. London: Lamp Press Ltd., 1955.
- Collett, Sidney. The Scripture of Truth. Seventh ed., London: S.W. Partridge & Co., Ltd., 1912.
- Cruden, Alexander. <u>Cruden's Complete</u> <u>Concordance</u>. London: Lutterworth Press, 1958.
- Fraser, Alexander. A Key to the Prophecies. Edinburgh: Bell & Bradfute, 1795.

- Girdlestone, R.B. <u>The Grammar of Prophecy</u>. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Kregel Publications, 1955.
- Haley, John W. Alleged Discrepancies of the Bible. Nashville, Tennessee: B.C. Goodpasture, 1958.
- Halley, Henry H. <u>Pocket Bible Handbook</u>. Chicago: Henry H. Halley, 1951.
- Interpreters Dictionary of the Bible, Vol. II. New York: Abingdon Press, 1962.
- McClelland, Alex. <u>Canon</u> and <u>Interpretation</u> of the <u>Holy</u> <u>Scripture</u>. New York: Robert Carter & Bros., 1860.
- Peloubet, F.N. <u>Peloubet's Bible Dictionary</u>. Philadel-phia: Universal Book and Bible House, 1947.
- Sims, A. Helps to Bible Study. Toronto: A. Sims, 1890.
- Strong, James. <u>The Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible</u>. New York: Abingdon-Cokesbury Press, 1948.
- The Amplified Bible. Grand Rapids, Michigan: Zondervan Publishing House, 1965.
- The Holy Scriptures. Philadelphia: The Jewish Publication Society of America, 1955.
- Torrey, R.A. <u>Difficulties in the Bible</u>. New York: Fleming H. Revell Company, 1907.
- . The Importance and Value of Proper Bible Study. Chicago: Moody Press, 1921.
- Tuck, Robert. A Handbook of Biblical Difficulties. London: Elliot Stock, 1904.
- <u>Difficulties.</u> London: Elliot Stock, 1890.
- Wilson, Benjamin. The Emphatic Diaglott. New York: International Bible Students! Association, Watch Tower Bible and Tract Society, 1942.
- Young, Robert. Analytical Concordance of the Holy Bible. London: Lutterworth Press, 1956.

INDEX OF SCRIPTURES EXPLAINED

Genesis 29:31		n		42	Luke 16 p. 100
Deuteronomy 21:15 .	0	•		42	17:21 63
Deuteronomy 24:1-4 .	0	•		70	23:39-42 41
Joshua 8:26-28 · · ·		•	•	78	John 1:1 54
Judges 3:15-21 · · ·					12:4 41
					19:14 33
8:27					
I Samuel 15:2-3 · ·					21:15-18 53
15:33 • • •	0		•	80	Acts 12:4 61
II Samuel 8:2					I Corinthians 7:19 41
24:1-17					10:27 39
					13 46
24:9					
I Kings 13 · · · ·	0		8	80	
II Kings 2:23-24 · ·	0		•	77	II Corinthians 3:7 55
6:19 • • •					Ephesians 4:26 56
10:18-28 • •				77	Philippians 3:20 15
					I Thessalonians 4:15 . 46,
24:8					
I Chronicles 13:1-14					TT (Dimentified 2) 2/2/2
15:1-2	•	•	•	79	II Timothy 2:24 60
21:1-3					Philemon 39
21:5					Hebrews 2:14 62
				-	II Peter 3:10-12 50
II Chronicles 36:9 .					I John 2:1 34
Psalm 18 · · · · ·				36	Revelation 13:16;
42:9		6 4	0	36	
91:4 · · · ·				36	19:20 48
Daniel 5:16				2000	
Matthew 3:2 · · ·					
6:2, 5, 16 .	•		•	4,8	
6:13					
8:5-6					
10:37					
12:40					
16:28					
19:7-9 • • •	•			70	
20:20					
24:22-27					
26:8	•	0 0			
26:29	•			39	
27:44	•			41	
27:45	•		ĸ	33	
Mark 10:35 · · · ·				41	
	•				
Luke 7:2-3 · · · ·	•	• •		41	
8:13	0	• •		51	
9:60	0	• •		38	
13:24	•			60	